

# 2015-11-05 - Fedora Tech Meeting

## Time/Place

This meeting is a hybrid teleconference and IRC chat. Anyone is welcome to join...here's the info:

- Time: 11:00am Eastern Daylight Time US (UTC-4)
- Dial-in Number: (712) 775-7035
  - Participant Code: 479307#
  - International numbers: [Conference Call Information](#)
  - Web Access: <https://www.freeconferencecallhd.com/wp-content/themes/responsive/flashphone/flash-phone.php>
- IRC:
  - [Join the #fcrepo chat room via Freenode Web IRC](#) (enter a unique nick)
  - Or point your IRC client to #fcrepo on irc.freenode.net

## Attendees

- [Unknown User \(acoburn\)](#)
- [Bethany Seeger](#)
- [Jared Whiklo](#)
- [Yinlin Chen](#) ★
- [Esmé Cowles](#)
- [Aaron Birkland](#)
- [A. Soroka](#)
- [Andrew Woods](#)
- [Nick Ruest](#)
- [Unknown User \(daniel-dgi\)](#)
- [James R. Griffin III](#)
- [Michael Durbin](#)
- [Andy Wagner](#)

## Agenda

1. Close (or justify) old pull requests <https://github.com/fcrepo4/fcrepo4/pulls>, especially those older than ~6 months
2. Hash resources: requirements, expectations, next steps
3. [Last-Modified date design](#)
4. [Code4Lib Philly](#) post-conference event?
5. ...

## Ticket Summaries

1. Please squash a bug!

type	key	summary	assignee	reporter	priority	status	resolution	created	updated	due
Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.										

2. Tickets resolved this week:

key	summary	type	created	updated	due	assignee	reporter	priority	status	resolution
Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.										

3. Tickets created this week:

key	summary	type	created	updated	due	assignee	reporter	priority	status	resolution
-----	---------	------	---------	---------	-----	----------	----------	----------	--------	------------

---

Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.

---

## Minutes

1. *Closing old PRs*: Send email to the author or leave comments on the conflict pull requests, old branches too.

2. *Hash fragments*:

Create hash resources, give a name and a property, then it is auto created?

Need to know from user's perspective, what is the client expectation? Need to have common understanding before start implement it.

- How does the user create the hash fragment?
- User don't create the hash fragment and just use them?
- Does user delete hash fragment make sense? or just stop using them?
- Http doesn't provide a way to delete hash fragment
- If user doesn't create hash fragment, then it make perfect sense doesn't delete them neither.
- Question1: a dc:creator point to a hash uri and you delete all the properties of that uri, do you remove that triple.
- what if that triple is not in the repository?
- Chain deletion doesn't feel right.
- You have a resource, inside that resource, there is properties point to a hash uri. at the certain point, you remove all the properties from the hash uri, what happen to that triple?
- Treat hash uri as a identifier not actually associate a resource in the repository. (if it make sense)
- Current we don't have a good answer to the question 1. We can treat this as a identifier so no deletion action.
- As properties, hashURIs could contain the "/" character, as described by RFC 3986. But can't use as JCR node.
- Don't have a final conclusion in this meeting, a proposal is need, to discover what property model, linking or not linking, delete link, and etc.

- <https://wiki.duraspace.org/display/FF/Design++Hash+URI+Fragments>

3. *Etags*: Please look at that page and give comments. Need some agreements on that before start working on it.

4. *C4L*: Have a meeting after the Code4Lib conference, what do you think?

other: <https://jira.duraspace.org/browse/FCREPO-1443> need to be reviewed