# DCAT Metadata Team Meeting Notes April 30, 2014

#### Date

• Wednesday, April 30, 2014

### Time

10:00am Eastern/14:00 UTC

#### Dial-in

We will use the international conference call dial-in. Please follow directions below.

- U.S.A/Canada toll free: 866-740-1260, participant code: 2257295
- International toll free: http://www.readytalk.com/intl
  - Use the above link and input 2257295 and the country you are calling from to get your country's toll-free dial in #
  - Once on the call, enter participant code 2257295

#### **Attendees**

- Bram Luyten (@mire) @mire
- Elin Stangeland University of Oslo
- Maureen Walsh The Ohio State University
- Sarah Potvin Texas A&M University
- Stefanie Ruehle
- Valorie Hollister DuraSpace

## Discussion Items

#### copy of proposal

- 1) A few questions that could fuel our agenda:
  - have about 1 hour for the panel: https://www.conftool.com/or2014/index.php?page=browseSessions&form\_date=2014-06-13
  - need 4 or 5 panelists shot for 5
    - Sarah Potvin
    - o Elin
    - o Bram
    - someone representing large harvesting initiative: OpenAir, SHARE, someone from Fedora linked data or EPrints, RIOXX, Eurpeaona, Metadata For All (Bram)
      - Elin to get list of attendees to see if there is anyone who could be invited to participate
      - Stephanie will check to see if there is anyone from Dublin Core community Jykha Jakula
    - o session moderator: Val
- 2) Structure of the panel
  - 10-15 min: introduction highlight why we are having panel, what we want to get out of it
    - o validate ideas/plans not missing a reqmt from the community or reqmt by external standards
  - 5 min or less each: each panelist present backgrounds and views
  - Discussion: a few topic prompts to guide discussion
    - o how does this plan sync up or create conflicts with your current work?
    - o linked data what benefits are there in linked data?
    - how to serve common use cases as everyone repositories evolve? how have people hacked DSpace to get it to do what they want make sure current plan addresses some of those needs
      - linking articles and journals in DSpace, ability to define relationships
      - CRIS heiararchal metadata needs to be flattened in order to bring into DSpace
    - what are the priorities and more imminent problems to tackle
- 3) Meet at OR beforehand to talk through panel
- 4) Other ideas from Bram

I think it would be nice if we may be able to present a few opposing opinions on the three topics, and see how the audience reacts. e.g.

A new look at supporting and safeguarding standards

Someone who's highly in favor of doing a full migration to dcterms vs someone who presents the idea of a mixed approach where we keep some free text fields like dc.title.

Or someone who's in favor of adding custom/institution specific fields vs someone in favor of reusing external schema's like RIOXX or UKETD

Metadata for all: Maybe there's someone who wants to make a case for treating other dspace objects (coll, comms, bitstreams) differently when it comes to metadata?

Future proofing

Opposing/different opinions on what the priorities should be?

What do you think? would this make sense? How can we ensure that we "dumb it down" enough so that it appeals and affects the audience the most.

## Action Items

- Bram & Elin review list of OR attendees to see if there is anyone who could be invited to participate
- Stephanie will check to see if there is anyone from Dublin Core community Juha Hakala Jykha Jakula (or is it Jyrki Ilva <i yrki ilva@holsinki.fi>?) to participate
- Meet at OR beforehand to talk through panel