

2022-12-19 Meeting: Order, Succession Changes, Dates

Charter	Project Page	Terminology	References	Working Documents	Deliverables	Meeting Notes
-------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------

- [ACTION ITEMS:](#)
 - [Agenda](#)
 - [Meeting Materials](#)
 - [Notes](#)
 - [Attendees](#)
-

ACTION ITEMS:

Agenda

Discuss Pull requests: https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/pulls

- Order Preference: made new pull request - https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/pull/73#pullrequestreview-1219693538 to reflect decision to not have a preference for order, but in doing so some questions came up.

We discussed this [pull request](#) (#73) and collectively edited the wording to reflect that while multiple change sets can be arranged in either ascending or descending order, this order should be the same as the order of activities within a change set. Here is the wording we ended with:

- The Activities within a Change Set MUST be sorted in date-time order in the orderedItems array. The Activities MAY be in ascending or descending order, but the order MUST be consistent within the Collection.
- Where there are multiple Change Sets, these sets MUST be arranged in ascending or descending date-time order, consistent with the Activity ordering within a given Change Set.
- Dave any update on order? https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/issues/34
 - Dave will work from the merged pull request - still haven't merged pull request.

Discuss Issues: https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/issues

- Inconsistency with Deprecate: related link, https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/issues/53
 - Continued discussion: https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/issues/68
 - To Discuss - Steven created examples to encapsulate the latest discussions- https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/issues/68#issuecomment-1357939609
 - Ended the discussion with at least the atomic change implementation. This would require any sort of change reports (for catalogers, etc.) or automation scripts to make sense of the RDF for the object entity in the Stream. If we have nested approach, we'll need to iron out the details of different types of changes and relationship between different activities, in order to create similar reports (for catalogers, etc.) or automation scripts.
 - Simeon said: "To me, the big choice is atomic or nested. The rest is details"
 - Vitus question: does "atomic" mean all activities are covered by three types: create, update, deprecate? including those that are "merge" and "split"?
- Date properties: https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/issues/39
 - When to use the following in our context:
 - [endTime](#) property definition
 - [startTime](#) property definition
 - [published](#) property definition
 - [updated](#) property definition
 - [deleted](#) property definition

We did not get to this topic. Ran out of time

Meeting Materials

- Recommendations: https://ld4.github.io/entity_metadata_management/api/0.1/recommendations.html
- Examples: https://ld4.github.io/entity_metadata_management/api/examples.html
- Pull requests: https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/pulls
- Issues: https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/issues
- How to contribute: https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management#contributing

Notes

Attendees

Vitus Tang

Kevin Ford

Nancy Falgren

Dave Eichmann

Steven Folsom
