

2022-12-05 Meeting: Embedded activities, dates stamps, and More!

Charter	Project Page	Terminology	References	Working Documents	Deliverables	Meeting Notes
-------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------

- [ACTION ITEMS:](#)
 - [Agenda](#)
 - [Meeting Materials](#)
 - [Notes](#)
 - [Attendees](#)
-

ACTION ITEMS:

Agenda

Discuss Pull requests: https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/pulls

- Order Preference: https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/pull/16 (keep? yes/no?)
 - How supportive do we want the document to be about supporting the processing, what's required?
 - Decision is to remove preference, once the direction is understood, the more important issue is to understand what that means for processing the feed.
 - Make new pull request without the preference. Close 16 in favor of the new pull.
- Dave any update on order? https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/issues/34
 - Dave will work from the merged pull request.

Discuss Issues: https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/issues

- Inconsistency with Deprecate: https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/issues/53 (see notes from last meeting, [2022-09-12 Meeting: Continue to Review Document](#)).
 - Continued discussion: https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/issues/68#issuecomment-1336585901
 - Still ok with this? Would rely on general change type activities, and require consumers to understand implicit merges/splits/deprecates.
 - Do we want a Succession activity type (when an authority was replaced vs. a changed name where the old name is still valid in some context)
 - Important to be able to understand the history of changes bc not everyone makes changes quickly.
 - Can do the processing either way, but
 - Relying on different types of changes, one can act or ignore certain types of changes. Having the merge, split, succession, etc. upfront supports this. A simple change type doesn't.
 - TODO: Steven to redo the examples in the comments for Issue 68, later form no longer seen as a succession type because often bibs using older heading do not require a change. Also, show what a simple change type (not using succession type, requiring processing of the entity description instead) would look like.
- Date properties: https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/issues/39
 - When to use the following in our context:
 - [endTime](#) property definition
 - [startTime](#) property definition
 - [published](#) property definition
 - [updated](#) property definition
 - [deleted](#) property definition

Meeting Materials

- Recommendations: https://ld4.github.io/entity_metadata_management/api/0.1/recommendations.html
 - Examples: https://ld4.github.io/entity_metadata_management/api/examples.html
 - Pull requests: https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/pulls
 - Issues: https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management/issues
 - How to contribute: https://github.com/LD4/entity_metadata_management#contributing
-

Notes

Attendees

Vitus Tang

Kevin Ford

Nancy Falgren

Dave Eichmann

Steven Folsom
