2021-04-28 - Fedora Leaders - Committers Meeting

Time/Place

Time: 11:00am Eastern Time (US)

Please see calendar invite for Zoom link.

Attendees

- 1. Este Pope
- 2. Jennifer Vinopal
- 3. Scott Prater
- 4. Peter Winckles
- 5. Jared Whiklo
- 6. Ben Pennel
- 7. Dan Coughlin
- Robin Ruggaber
- 9. Jennifer Gilbert
- 10. Arran Griffith

Objectives

- 1. Ensure alignment between Committer and Leadership teams on technical priorities/direction
- 2. Establish process/expectations for production release

Reference

Agenda

- 1. Introductions
- 2. Leadership/Governance updates?
- 3. Technical updates since previous meeting
 - a. Pilot Testing
 - b. Integrations
 - i. Islandora
 - ii. Valkyrie
 - iii. S3
 - c. Technical issues encountered since beta
 - d. Software updates since beta 2
 - e. Updates planned before release
- 4. Final plans for Production Release

Notes

Governance & Business Model sub-group update

- Wanted to know more details on IDC with LYRASIS
- Meeting notes from last sub-group meeting: https://wiki.lyrasis.org/display/FF/2021-04-16+Governance+and+Business+Model+Subgroup+Meeting
- · Robert and Laurie offered to prepare proposal to present with different options for services we can pick and choose from
 - Want to be able to better manage costs in a way that is more transparent for us
- Lead to deeper conversation surrounding what Fedora needs for future beyond 6.0
- Want to bring this discussion to next Leaders meeting because we want to involve more of the community
- Want to bring the fiscal sponsor info brought to Leaders meeting

Este: API vs software - want to hear more from others about their thoughts (esp. committers)

o Maybe need to bring this in a better format and decide how best to have this discussion and how to gather info

Communication Sub-Group

- Trying to promote and outreach to community surrounding Fedora 6.0
- Will be releasing a new image that represents the progress we've made toward Fedora 6.0
- Will also be hosting a launch party for the release of Fedora 6.0

Tech Update

- Speed/performance issues in the process of being worked on
- Jared wants to ensure the communication is clear about why the performance is a little slower (and only slower comparatively so)
- OCFL is not as fast as ModeShape was, but that is a blanket statement and maybe we need to set some new expectations and level set people's understanding of performance
 - Need to present the importance of preservation aspect and its a trade off between a slightly smaller performance vs protection of objects
 - It is still very fast, but when you are bulk ingesting it is not as fast comparatively speaking
- Camel toolbox depends on how many members of the community need/want it
 - O Sprint may not take place in the time frame it was initially set up
 - Want to include this because if there are going to be performance related issues, then you can still use things like SoIR indexing to search
- Are we stuck on "end of June" proper for release?
 - This would give us over a month to finish up everything that is left outstanding
 - Peter and Jared feel this is a reasonable
 - Will be things left after the fact, but this should be attainable
 - Scott & Este think this seems solid especially coming to most institution's fiscal year end
 - Want to put out something performant and stable even if it takes time
 - $^{\circ}\,$ If we save ourselves 5ish weeks for release candidate testing
 - O That would set release candidate on may 31st

Proposed Time Line for Release(conservative):

Now-May 30 - continue to finish off WIP items and low hanging fruit

May 31 - cut release candidate

- 2-3 week testing phase post-candidate release
- 2 week buffer period in case things come up during the testing phase

July 2 - Production Release

Action Items

• Type your task here, using "@" to assign to a user and "//" to select a due date