2021-02-01 Technical WG Agenda and Notes

Date

01 Feb 2021

Attendees

- John Kunze
- Bertrand Caron
- Karen Hanson
- Mark Phillips
- Curtis Mirci
- Greg Janée
- Roxana Maurer

Goals

- Spec finalize and implementation plan

Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
	announcements		JK: first (mailchimp) newsletter failed to go out today; will investigate; suspect RSS feed failure BC: issue appeared on French forum concerning how n2t normalizes hyphens out of ARKs before redirecting JK: yes, feature rather than bug; but what do others think? (no disagreement) Action: BC will send ARK Alliance announcement to French forum
	upcoming meetings, calls for papers, submission deadlines • PASIG • ARKA newsletter • Feb 22 IETF submission cutoff		Action: JK will inquire about PASIG deadline (since it wasn't available online) Action: All: please think about topics for the ARKA newsletter JK: Feb 22 IETF cutoff is just a temporary outage of IETF submissions for a period surrounding each meeting
	new draft spec: https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-kunze-ark-26.txt • description of shoulders (catch up to FAQ) • define betanumeric and primordinal (catch up to FAQ) • replacing non-working examples with (nearly) working examples diffs between this spec and last spec discussed https://www.ietf.org/rfcdiff?url1=draft-kunze-ark-24.txt&url2=draft-kunze-ark-26.txt		RM: section 1.3 – is it true that "high quality access" is the central duty, and doesn't "high quality" risk being confused with "access to high-quality objects" JK: definitely *not* meant to be a statement about quality of the objects, but quality of the access; persistent access is still very important, but the ability to set expectations (weak or strong) could as easily enable a powerful mechanism to promise the opposite (eg, single-use links) Action: clarify GJ: what is the use case of multiple suffixes? could we clarify that multiple suffix normalization is for comparing arks rather than publishing them? GJ: general notion of what normalization is for could use clarification, not just for comparison, but also for resolution; should suffix order matter? why? Action: clarify RM: need to clarify whether changing order of suffixes is equivalent CM: what if suffixes don't match? ok to resolve to parent object so if you can't normalize the user at least sees something MP: yes, at UNT we might change our minds, and will resolve older qualifiers to the parent object
	Spec to do: • normalization of encodings • point to structured area for ongoing work (arks.org/labs ?)		JK: what's left before RFC submission; where do we continue our work -- using arks.org/labs? JK: need to catch up shoulder spec docs with shoulder FAQ
	Wikipedia ARK - is this as much Tech WG as Outreach WG?		JK: arks.org rollout brought wikipedia page to the fore; some of the problem is that the page has real overlap with spec (Tech WG) BC: recently reviewed French wikipedia page and will forward results JK: Action: go back and review where we got with outreach wg

Action items

- Bertrand Caron will send ARK Alliance announcement to French forum
- John Kunze will inquire about PASIG deadlines

- All: please think about topics for the ARKA newsletter
- John Kunze Roxana Maurer come up with phrasing to clarify "high quality" vs "persistent" access (access not objects) as "central duty" of ARKs
- John Kunze Greg Janée clarify that multiple suffix normalization is for comparing arks rather than publishing them; see all comments in agenda notes
- John Kunze review where Outreach got with wikipedia page