2021-01-25 Final Meeting of First Charter

Charter Project Page Terminology References Working Documents Deliverables Meeting Notes

Table of Contents

- ACTION ITEMS:
- Agenda
- Meeting Materials
- Recording
- Notes
- Attendees

ACTION ITEMS:

Agenda

- Review Summary Document
- Topic for next charter
- Plus/Delta

Meeting Materials

· Supporting cataloger inclusion of external authoritative data (Summary Document)

Recording

TBD

Notes

Review Summary Document

Topic for next charter

Discussion of proposed topics:

- Change Management (mark 1:06-1:34)
 - Who is impacted by changes to authoritative data (e.g. cache maintainers, application developers, catalogers)?
 - How do authoritative data providers convey data changes to downstream consumers?
 - What kinds of changes are reported ((e.g. added, deleted, moved, renamed, merged, split, etc.)?
 - O How do applications respond to data changes?
 - How do caches of authoritative data stay in sync with the original data source (e.g. full downloads, incremental updates, processing of of a feed of changes)?
- Language Processing (mark 1:42-5:39)
 - What do we mean by language processing?
 - What works best at each level (i.e. Cataloger, Application Developer, Authoritative Data Provider)?
 - How do you add language tags to literals?
 - O Some authorities don't language tag any literals. Some tag some (e.g. descriptions, notes) and not others (e.g. name). What is behind these choices?
 - o How do downstream consumers request a search in a particular language?
 - Are multiple indices required to support each language?

- o For some authorities, alternate language versions of the authority are a completely separate authority (e.g. MeSH in French). How do those multiple versions stay in sync, such that they hold the same terms and context?
- o Does language search mean a native search in the specified language? Or does it mean the returned results are filtered to the requested language?
- Anything related to language.
- Moving User Stories to Specific Recommendations (mark 5:58-6:25, 8:03-14:24)
 - The user stories are general in wording. How can we move from this general wording to specific technical recommendations for making the user stories a reality?
 - o Example: For user story on pagination, we could make a recommendation that the pagination information is encoded following the JSON API Standard.
- · Linked Data approaches for using authority files (e.g. relational databases, SPARQL, ontologies, common search ontology) (mark 6:31-8:00)
 - What kinds of storage is commonly being used (e.g. triple store, relational database, index)?
 What kinds of end points are commonly supported (e.g. REST API, SPARQL, GraphQL)?

 - What ontologies are commonly used to represent data?
 - Would a common search ontology be useful and what would it look like?

Plus/Delta

Plus	Delta
 things that went well interesting to hear how others are working on these topics sessions were well prepared, good documentation great how everyone chipped in on wording of user stories to get them right good that documents were available for everyone to comment on having documents defined and available as output was useful 	 anything that didn't work well for you, was missing, or could have been better hard to make time for this with other conflicting priorities prepared docs a bit of a downside with too much steering of conversations don't know who has the resources or appetite to act on the output and potential specific recommendations no sure recommendations will be adopted

Attendees

- E. Lynette Rayle (Cornell)
- Justin Littman (Stanford)
- Jeremy Nelson (Stanford)
- Hetty van Zutphen (ISNI)
 Lydia Pintscher (Wikidata)
- Steven Folsom (Cornell)
- Nate Trail (LOC)