# 2020-10-22 Outreach WG Agenda and Notes

## Date

22 Oct 2020

### Attendees

- John Kunze
- Tracy Seneca
- Bertrand Caron
- Maria GouldKurt Ewoldsen
- Peter Sachs Collopy

## Goals

• rebranding, part II

### Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
	announcements		

coming up with our first (interim?) logo; first some identity issues

- we own arks.org (can't get arka.org)
- we own arksalliance@gmail.com (can't get arkalliance@gmail.
- do we want ARK Alliance (singular) or ARKs Alliance (plural)
   important test: "The ARK Alliance (arks.org) is seeking
   \$300,000 for..." \$300,000 for ..
- could we live without an acronym?
  - o since an acronym would mean teaching the world two different 4-letter words
    - arks(.org) = ARK Alliance
    - arka = ARK Alliance
  - o if we drop the acronym, that cancels all the acronymbased logo options



**ARK** 

## Alliance





TS: prefer boats over acronym-based logos MG: mashup of IA and boat logo looks like its trying too hard, too obvious; TS: like ARK Alliance to right of boat; would like to straighten out the roof

P: we could probably get someone could redraw the roof

B: either acronym or boat ok, slight pref for acronym

PC: I like that idea that the ARK Alliance is the org, and "arks" (plural) is the

All: agreed

towards an ARK(s) Alliance brochure website

- very drafty, stub pages started at https://arks.org
- seeking volunteers and a strategy for building out and maintaining the site
- imagine gradually transitioning content maintenance from lyrasis wiki to arks.org

TS: action: will jot down things important on wiki that should be on the arks.org

TS: I'll be glad to write a post once our IIIF project is further along KE: should we solicit specific contributions to building out the site?

MG: are we planning a formal launch? when?

TS: perhaps after Jan 20 for relaunch

KE: agreed

TS: don't think we need a large survey

Is: don't think we need a large survey
JK: would like some sense of what the ARK working groups
MG: for brochure site, what is the AG input on this? what is WG input on it?
TS: would be nice to allow any member to post to blog (eg, like CDL does)
BC: yes, eg, the OPF allows each member to post
KE: eventually we should make accounts for every WG member

TS: agreed: want more than just Outreach review for boilerplate items

## Action items

- John Kunze pull clean image from source
- John Kunze set up WP accounts for everyone in the WG
   John Kunze set up call with Tracy and Maria for wiki transition priorities