

2020-08-27 Product Technology Subgroup Meeting

Attendees

- [David Wilcox](#)
- [Jared Whiklo](#)
- [Doron Shalvi](#)
- [Ben Wallberg](#)

Agenda/Notes

1. Fedora 6 Testing
 - a. NLM: Getting F6 up and running in a production-like environment
 - i. MySQL, installed on a different server managed by database team
 - ii. Connecting with different groups the organization
 - iii. Starting functional and load testing
 - b. Andrew Woods has done some initial testing based on the [test plan](#)
 - i. David to check in with Andrew on current status of testing and [Fedora 6 feature tracking](#)
2. Feasibility of a "phone home" feature
 - a. Discussed at the last [Fedora Leaders meeting](#)
 - b. A feature that would allow Fedora to communicate with a central server to track implementations
 - c. Some questions and concerns from the group
 - i. Would this be Opt-in or opt-out?
 1. What does this mean for the different ways that people install Fedora?
 - a. Can we indicate in the Readme? Will people see it?
 - b. What about in a hosted environment?
 - c. How would this work in a Docker environment?
 - d. What guarantees do we have that this information would get to us?
 2. If this is opt-in, how many people would use it?
 3. People that opt-in would probably have already registered
 - ii. Who are we going to tick off by having this feature?
 - iii. How we can tell test vs. dev vs. production?
 1. Maybe do an occasional ping to see if the server is alive
 - iv. Ben: Initial reaction was an icky feeling
 1. Doron: Potential security issue - sys admins asking why an application is sending out traffic
 - v. What kind of data do we want?
 - vi. How can we make this data private/safe?
 - vii. What about language barriers? Will people understand it?
 - viii. Can we provide a service that people would want to register their Fedora repositories to get access to?
 1. Update notification service. Releases, bug-fixes, etc.
 2. This would still be a voluntary registration
- d. What about value-add services
 - i. Follow ArchivesSpace model of providing services exclusively for members
 1. Maybe make the change in association with Fedora 6 release
 - a. Messaging: We made it to Fedora 6 with dedicated community support, but the current membership model is not sustainable