# 2020-04-13 NAAN Registry WG Agenda and Notes

## Date

13 Apr 2020

#### Attendees

- John Kunze
- Brian McBride
- Bertrand Caron
- Maria Gould
- Julien Antoine Raemy

## Goals

• Second meeting: proposal to register shoulders, Slack integration

## Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
	announcements		
	Proposed: expand the role of NAAN registry to record not only per-institutional NAANs, but also shoulders under one of the four non-institutional NAANs  Reminder a shoulder is an extension to a NAAN that subdivides a NAAN namespace, eg, 12345/b3 and 12345/b4 are two sub-namespaces under the 12345 namespace  99999 test/take ARKs, meant to look "wrong" (similar to seeing 9999 in a date field) and to not surprise people for not resolving  usually generated, usually resolvable for a short period of time  EZID.cdlib.org currently has exclusive access to several shoulders under 99999  other services (eg, INCIPIT) should be able to reserve shoulders in order to implement test/fake ARKs under 99999, but there is no formal way to prevent collisions if they started to do so  global resolution via n2t.net (for those that choose it), in current practice, is driven at the NAAN level, eg, 99999 ARKs are all assumed to originate with EZID (not a good long-term assumption), usually from the 99999/fk4 shoulder. Maybe 99999/fx4 could be for INCIPIT?  12345 example ARKs, similar to test/fake ARKs, but meant to look less "wrong"  eg, "my own NAAN might look like that if it weren't so regular"  meant for documentation, sometimes resolvable (possibly for a long time)  99152 vocabulary terms, eg, metadata terms  meant for long-term access, shared across services and institutions  EZID.cdlib.org and YAMZ.net already have exclusive access to different shoulders under 99152  99166 agents (people, groups, institutions)  EZID.cdlib.org and SNACC already have exclusive access to different shoulders under 99152  The question of registering shoulders (outside of EZID) has come up before, and with INCIPIT is a bit more pressing. As with NAANs, the purpose is to prevent name assignment collisions between institutions. One alternative is to create a new special-purpose NAAN (eg, 99998, 99997,) for each institution that wants to do test ARKs, but that would clutter the NAAN namespace.  An advantage of beginning to register shoulders <i>just fo</i>		BC: BnF has some plans and interest in this topid MG: moving users in and out of a service like ezid has its challenges, and it seems easier administratively to not share NAANs MG: recommend taking a step back to evaluate options, eg, multiple NAANs vs shared shoulders  General agreement that a clearer understanding of the different options would be good, including the technical, admin, and user impact of each option JR: incipit is ok with either option  ACTION: jak will write up options  ACTION: jak will send query to group about who may have webhooks skills to help automate NAAN registration
	Slack integration?		

#### Action items

.