2019-12-12 - Fedora Tech Meeting

Time/Place

This meeting is a hybrid teleconference and slack chat. Anyone is welcome to join...here's the info:

- Time: 11:00am Eastern Daylight Time US (UTC-4)
- Audio/Video Conference Link: https://lyrasis.zoom.us/my/fedora
  - Dial-in:
    +1 408 638 0968
    +1 646 876 9923
    +1 669 900 6833
    Meeting ID:
    812 835 3771
- Join fedora-project.slack.com on the "tech" channel

Attendees

1. Danny Bernstein
2. Peter Winckles
3. Jared Whiklo
4. Bethany Seeger
5. Andrew Woods
6. Ben Pennell
7. Aaron Birkland
8. David Wilcox (out)
9. Peter Eichman
10. Daniel Lamb ⭐
11. Ben Cail
12. Thomas Bernhart

Agenda

1. Announcements
   a. 2020 Q1 Sprint Doodle: https://doodle.com/poll/es7nwdppt94rv2pz
   b. CNI Update (2019-12-11 - CNI Fedora Leadership Group Meeting)
2. State of t
   a. [_____]
   b. [_____]
   c.   Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.
   d.
3. Fedora 6 Demo
   a. What
   b. When
4. Open Questions
   a. What to do with Pair Trees?

```
from last week:
* probably not a need for it;
* it was a performance hack with Fedora 6 that probably should go away
* Aaron raises question about Fedora 4 to 6 migration of pair-trees
* three options: collapse the pair-tree, retain pair-tree as containers, or retain Fedora 4
behavior
* is there deployed Samvera behavior that depends on pair-tree nodes?
```

   b. Multi-tenancy question: Would it be possible to think about a single fedora supporting multiple storage roots? ie one storage root maps
to each top level container?
5. <>

Tickets

1. In Review

type	key	summary	assignee	reporter	priority	status	resolution	created	updated	due

2. Please squash a bug!

key	summary	type	created	updated	due	assignee	reporter	priority	status	resolution

> Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.

3. Tickets resolved this week:

key	summary	type	created	updated	due	assignee	reporter	priority	status	resolution

> Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.

4. Tickets created this week:

key	summary	type	created	updated	due	assignee	reporter	priority	status	resolution

> Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.

Notes

1. Announcements
   a. 2020 Q1 Sprint Doodle: https://doodle.com/poll/es7nwdppt94rv2pz
      i. Need more publicity for the doodle poll and try to get more signups
   b. CNI Update
      i. https://wiki.lyrasis.org/display/FF/2019-12-11+-+CNI+Fedora+Leadership+Group+Meeting happened
      ii. There is lots of support for Fedora 6 and making sure it is a success, and a desire for strong performance and scale testing before release. Expectations are high for a quality release without any pressure for providing an aggressive timeline.
2. State of t
   a.   Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.   Questions exist about the
       due to time constraints.
   b.   Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.   Gives people an option as to

   c.   Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.   Need a good pattern for

   d. Containment index
3. Fedora 6 Demo
   a. Gauging the commitment level of folks during the holiday season to see if we can meet the goal of having a demo to show off as soon as possible. Danny Bernstein and Andrew Woods will spend time over the break working on it and everyone else who is available will do what they can to bring this over the finish line.
4. Open Questions
   a. Pair-trees: Didn't get around to it
   b. Multi-tenancy question: Would it be possible to think about a single fedora supporting multiple storage roots? ie one storage root maps to each top level container? The basis is laid out via LDP containment and WebAC policies, but there still remain downstream considerations. e.g. import/export'ing for an individual tenant, etc...

Actions

- Clarify in in documentation that multiple simultaneous writes to OCFL are not supported