

2019-11-04 Technical WG Agenda and Notes

Date

04 Nov 2019

Attendees

- [John Kunze](#)
- [Curtis Mirci](#)
- [Greg Janée](#)
- [Sheila Morrissey](#)
- [Tom Creighton](#)

Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
	Announcements.		New working group member, Karen Hanson, will be replacing Sheila towards the end of the year.
	<p>revisiting new inflection, ?info, as landing page – new document summarizing discussion so far</p> <p>Proposed: for any ARK X, X?info should lead to an HTML-formatted "landing" document (page) with metadata embedded as JSON-LD. The metadata, in human- and machine-readable form, includes</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The ARK X2. Descriptive metadata:<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. whob. whatc. whend. wheree. how (metatype, similar to resourcetype)f. domain-specific elements (eg, publications vs physical samples vs vocabulary terms)3. PIDs to first-level variants (versions, formats, change history) and components of X, if any4. PID to the first logical ancestor of X<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. eg, if X is a PDF variant of a document object, this points to the logical object ARK listing X along with its sibling HTML and MSWord forms5. PID to the last logical ancestor of X<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. eg, if X is a section of a chapter of a book, this points to the book logical object6. Change history, if any7. Licensing and accessibility information8. How to cite, including "cite-as" header9. Persistence statement <p>A great example to follow would be the A data citation roadmap for scholarly data repositories.</p>		<p>JK gave a walk through of the proposal sketch</p> <p>TC: there's a distinction to be made between aggregation (UML sense) vs containment (ARK sense)</p> <p>GJ: overall like the proposal, especially in providing a list of defined slots to populate that are mostly optional; suspect that most people won't have all the fields GJ: the citation roadmap paper doesn't commit to metadata; perhaps we should recommend something? this proposal differs from others in that the ERC recommendation doesn't distinguish Publisher, while the publishing community recommendation does distinguish Publisher JK: the Publisher distinction can be made in the domain-specific core elements that follow the kernel/ERC elements GJ: should have a "how to cite" element SM: yes, it would be very useful to have citation information SM: how do we thread the needle between what we want and making things work with standards? JK: hopefully we can use something like yamz.net to move things forward SM: recommend to be loose enough in language we use about metadata and not let the ARK spec get bogged down in endless metadata discussions TC: what if I only want the JSON and not the HTML, eg, what if I use the Accept-Header to ask for JSON-LD? that shouldn't return HTML + JSON, right? GJ: there may already be standards and conventions on how to do this – I will investigate</p>

Action items

- [Greg Janée](#) Look into what is expected for html vs json responses.