2019-08-29 - Fedora Tech Meeting

Time/Place

This meeting is a hybrid teleconference and slack chat. Anyone is welcome to join...here's the info:

- Time: 11:00am Eastern Daylight Time US (UTC-4)
- Audio/Video Conference Link: https://lyrasis.zoom.us/my/fedora
 - Dial-in:
 - +1 408 638 0968
 - +1 646 876 9923
 - +1 669 900 6833
 - Meeting ID:
 - 812 835 3771
- Join fedora-project.slack.com on the "tech" channel

Attendees

Part 1:

- 1. Danny Bernstein
- 2. Peter Winckles 🔶
- 3. Andrew Woods (out)
- 4. David Wilcox
- 5. Peter Eichman
- 6. Joshua Westgard (out)
- 7. Jared Whiklo
- 8. Bethany Seeger
- 9. Youn Noh
- 10. Thomas Bernhart (might have to leave early)
- 11. Ben Cail
- 12. Rosie Le Faive
- 13. Daniel Lamb
- 14. Aaron Birkland

Part 2:

Agenda

- 1. Announcements
- 2. Sprint Planning
 - a. 6.0 Architecture Review
 - b. Coming to consensus on:
 - i. Definition of "rebuild"
 - create all necessary indexes, caches, or other ancillary data structures derived from persisted content (OCFL + unversioned content)
 - c. unversioned content
 - i. What use cases must we support?
 - 1. Automatic versioning of staged content after X minutes/hours/days/weeks?
 - 2. Permanently unversioned content
 - 3. ?
 - ii. Issues to gain consensus on:
 - 1. Are we agreed that unversioned content will live outside OCFL Storage Root?
 - 2. ?
 - d. Multi-object transaction implementation approach?
 - e. Transaction Sidecar Spec Update
 - f. Major Areas of Work
 - i. Design/Development
 - 1. Interface Definition
 - a. Persistence API
 - b. ?
 - 2. OCFL Client Development
 - a. OCFL Java API
 - b. OCFL Java Client Implementation
 - 3. Transactions
 - ii. Documentation
 - iii. Testing
 - iv. Import/Export/Migration
 - V. ? Sprint Coole
 - g. Sprint Goals
- 3. Status on organizing a Fedora documentation review
- 4. Introduction to running Fedora with Valkyrie
- 5. Applying a digital preservation framework (e.g. NDSA Levels of Digital Preservation) to Fedora 6

- 6. Update on Fedora 6 Pilots
- 7. Status
 - a. API Test Suite PRs
 - i. https://github.com/fcrepo/Fedora-API-Test-Suite/pulls b. Minimal 4 5 migration needs testing and code review:
 - i. https://github.com/fcrepo4-exts/fcrepo-upgrade-utils/pull/17
- 8. Your topic here...

Tickets

1. In Review

type	key	summary	assignee	reporter	priority	status	resolution	created	updated	due
Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.										
Plaasa si	nuach a	hual								

2. Please squash a bug!

key	summary	type	created	updated	due	assignee	reporter	priority	status	resolution
	Unable to	o locate J	ira server for	this macro. I	t may be	due to Applica	ation Link con	figuration.		
Tickets r	resolved this w	/eek:								
key	summary	type	created	updated	due	assignee	reporter	priority	status	resolution
Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.										

Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.

due

assignee

reporter

priority

status

resolution

Notes

3.

4.

key

summary

Part I

- 1. Announcements:

created

updated

type

- - ii. Rebuildability from FS

- c. Why better to use Fedora over Preservica?
 - i. Preservica not active storage
- d. Fedora offers standard middleware integrations: notifications, robust api
- 3. Definition of Fedora rebuild
- a. Ability to recreate everything needed to run Fedora based on the files on disk (Daniel's exact words should be added here)
- 4. Support unversioned content?
 - a. Some use Fedora to author content over a period of time. They want to version when the content is ready and not have a version of every change.
 - i. Clean version set
 - ii. Reduce bloat on disk
 - b. Fedora currently offers the ability to mutate objects and create fixed versions. In OCFL, everything is immutable. How to reconcile this, and maintain Fedora functionality.
 - i. Store everything in OCFL and make version logical structures on top of this
 - ii. Store only immutable content in OCFL
 - 1. Mutable content stored within OCFL's 'deposit' directory
 - 2. Fedora maintains mutable content
 - iii. Automatically periodically "flush" staged changes to OCFL
 - 1. OCFL versions would not be meaningful requires logical versions
 - c. There's a possibility that an object could never have a version. Is this okay?
 - d. Auto-versioning should be toggle-able
 - e. Islandora controls versioning auto-versioning would be off by default
 - f. Where does unversioned content live?
 - i. OCFL 'deposit' directory is intended for short-lived version creation and not long-term storage
 - ii. OCFL 'deposit' directory space is out of spec and is up to the individual library to use it as it will
 - g. Currently, you can delete version markers, but this is not possible if you store version markers in OCFL. Perhaps store version markers outside of OCFL?
 - i. All changes stored in OCFL
 - ii. Fedora managed version file that maps OCFL versions to logical versions. This mapping is outside of the OCFL object.
 - h. Pros about versioning every change in OCFL
 - i. Easier to implement
 - ii. Easier to reason about
 - iii. Rebuildability is easier
 - i. Cons about versioning every change in OCFL
 - i. Binary bloat
 - ii. Inventory bloat
 - j. OCFL versions are not presented in the API only Fedora versions are

Part II

- 1. What is "auto-versioning"?
 - a. On close of Fedora transaction an OCFL version is created this happens regardless of whether or not auto-versioning is enabled
 - b. Auto-versioning on: Fedora tag automatically created for every OCFL version
 - c. Auto-versioning off: No Fedora tag created
 - d. Absent a tag file that describes Fedora versions, OCFL versions are exposed
 - e. Counter-prop: No auto-versioning. All Fedora versions must be manual.
 - f. Cannot support tagging old OCFL versions with Fedora versions
 - g. Concerns about exposing OCFL versions through Fedora APIs don't want Fedora to be tied to OCFL
 - h. How does this work when importing from old versions of Fedora?
 - i. Fedora 4 & 5: can the versions be replayed?
 - ii. Fedora 4 5: Export 4, run transform, Import 5
 - iii. Fedora 5 6: Export 5, run transform that produces OCFL, point Fedora 6 to OCFL
 - iv. Fedora 3 6: Conversion of Fedora 3 files on disk to OCFL, point Fedora 6 to OCFL
- 2. Transactions
 - a. Transactions at the object level are do-able, but across multiple objects is trickier. May not need to implement multi object transactions.
 - b. Bound to an archival group. Don't allow multi object transactions across different archival groups.
 - c. Transaction endpoint exposed for every OCFL object
 - d. Cannot make changes to objects out of scope of original transaction.
 - e. How do you open a transaction for a new OCFL object?
 - i. Create an empty object, open a transaction, update object, close transaction would not remove the empty object on rollback f. Why limit transactions to just one object?
 - i. Multi-object OCFL transactions are hard to implement
 - g. Something in the import/export group for mapping to archival groups

Actions

- Aaron Birkland to look explore notion of OCFL client with database as authoritative metadata source + asynchronous writing of the inventory.json file
- Peter Eichman and maybe Ben Pennell to make recommendations re transaction side car specification.
- Andrew Woods will look into java 11 transition