

# 2019-03-18 Sustainability WG Agenda and Notes

## Date

15 Mar 2019

## Attendees

- [John Kunze](#)
- Kurt Ewoldsen
- Sayeed Choudhury
- Kate Wittenberg
- Brian McBride
- Roger Schonfeld

## Goals

- Shared understanding of current infrastructure costs at CDL

## Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
25m	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Presentation of current ARK infrastructure costs at CDL               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <a href="#">ARK infrastructure timeline</a></li> <li>◦ <a href="#">ARKs @ CDL Architecture</a></li> <li>◦ <a href="#">ARKs @ CDL cost estimates</a></li> <li>◦ <a href="#">AITO phase 1 goals</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Kurt, John	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The call is being recorded.</li> </ul>
35m	Questions and discussion	All	<p>KE: Majority of EZID dev/UI costs due to DOI usage            RS: Can we split out ARK from DOI costs?            KW: What's the minimum required cost to keep the lights on?            RS: What's CDL's commitment to DOIs?            RS: Is EZID the AITO responsibility?            JK: No</p> <p>RS: is \$70K the minimum needed?            KE: yes, however, there's more needed in terms of community, eg, if someone gave us that money, it would still be a CDL project, that requires CDL to keep running it.            RS: why isn't it just a question of revenue?            JK: we need help understanding who/what org gets paid the \$70K to continue ARK infrastructure            KE: agreed; how can we come up with \$300K / year for phase1 goals and also next gen resolver?            KW: is there a need that we're responding to? if not, are we willing to keep it going regardless of need?            Is there a customer base to keep this going?            KE: I think the latter. We are in uncharted waters. What does it mean to see all the expressions of interest we've seen            KW: what if we break down all the costs, divided the total by the number of people in the community, and ask if they would be willing to pay that amount            KE: yes, there are people paying vendors, some running their own infrastructure            RS: sounds very service oriented, like Crossref            KE: John and I will try to refine language around the value proposition            KW: can we talk about the minimum viable product            JK: maybe a Crossref-type org is the right model</p>

## Action items

- JK and KE will try to refine language around the value proposition