2019-01-17 DSpace 7 Working Group Meeting

Date

17 Jan 2019 from 15:00-16:00 UTC

Location: https://duraspace.zoom.us/my/dspace (Meeting ID: 502 527 3040).

- More connection options available at DSpace Meeting Room

Agenda

- (5 mins) General development / planning updates (Tim)
    - DSpace 7 at OR2019 - Our proposals are in! Thanks all!
    - Preview Release schedule discussion with Steering Group
        - Tim will schedule a meeting of core contributors (DuraSpace, Atmire, 4Science) to discuss upcoming release schedule, brainstorm ways to speed up development/review processes and better stay on schedule.
- (20 mins) Unblocking our REST Contract PRs
    - Spring Data REST "text-uri/list" to manage links between objects. Comments from Andrea Bollini (4Science) on #rest-api Slack Channel (yesterday).
        - https://github.com/DSpace/Rest7Contract/pull/34 (alternative approach: https://github.com/DSpace/Rest7Contract/pull/42)
        - https://github.com/DSpace/Rest7Contract/pull/35 (alternative approach: https://github.com/DSpace/Rest7Contract/pull/44)
        - https://github.com/DSpace/Rest7Contract/pull/41
- (10 mins) Quick updates on Angular UI tickets and/or PRs (Art)
- (10 mins) Quick updates on REST API tickets and/or PRs (Andrea)
- (10 mins) General Discussion Topics
    - Planning for 7.0 Preview Release (end of January)
        - Development Planning Spreadsheet.
    - Discussion of deletion of EPeople for GDPR compliance (from Pascal)
        - Pending merger of : https://github.com/DSpace/DSpace/pull/2229. NOTE: item.getSubmitter() will return null if EPerson deleted.
    - DSpace 7 installation/customization process. Documenting our plan/goal for 7.0
        - Updates on "One Webapp Backend" PR: https://github.com/DSpace/DSpace/pull/2265 First example of a webapp (SWORDv1) that fully integrated & configurable.
        - Also updated docs at: DSpace Backend as One Webapp
- (5 mins) Agenda items for next meeting?

Attendees

- Art Lowel (Atmire)
- Andrea Bollini (4Science)
- Tim Donohue
- Lieven Droogmans
- Mark H. Wood
- Giuseppe Digilio (4Science)
- Ben Bosman
- Pascal-Nicolas Becker
- Chris Wilper
- Terrence W Brady
- Pablo Prieto

Unavailable

Notes

(*Notes below copied from last meeting. Details will be updated during this meeting.*)

- General Updates (Tim)
    - Tim thanks all for efforts on getting OR2019 presentations in. Full list at DSpace 7 at OR2019
    - Notes discussion at Steering Group (yesterday) that we won't make current Preview Release goal (end of Jan). Suggestion out Steering was to have a Planning meeting of core contributors (DuraSpace, Atmire, 4Science) to discuss how to update the schedule, and brainstorm ways to speed up development/review processes and better stay on schedule.
        - Tim will send out a Doodle poll this week to hopefully schedule meeting sometime next week
- Unblocking REST API PRs
    - Tim summarized discussion from last meeting & feedback from Andrea in #rest-api Slack channel.
    - Lots of back and for discussion & clarification occurred. This cannot be accurately documented, so I've summarized the decision / main points below.
    - **Final decision on managing relationships/links via PUT/POST** (this is a summary of several back & forth discussions, which finalized in these main points)
        - In general, we should be aiming to align with Spring Data REST best practices (where they exist)
        - In Spring Data REST, the best practice to create/update/manage associations (i.e. links) between resources (DSpace Objects) is to do the following:

        - First, create all resources (objects) the need to be linked (via appropriate POST requests), if they are not already created
        - Second, establish the relationship between resources by sending a PUT request to the main object's association endpoint, where the following is true
            - The request body of the request should be a list of URIs to link/associate with the main object
            - The request content type should be "text/uri-list".
        - Better examples of this recommendation exist here https://www.baeldung.com/spring-data-rest-relationships
    - Relationships on Object Creation (usually POST)
        - For object creation (POST of new object) in DSpace, we usually need to send the whole object representation (JSON) in the *request body*. For DSpace REST API, we've chosen to always send JSON in the request body (Content Type: application/json or application/hal+json**)**
        - There are some object types in DSpace that **cannot be created without a Parent Object** (i.e. without a link /association to another object). Such examples include Items (which cannot be created without a Collection) and Collections (which cannot be created without a Community)
            - In this scenario, we *cannot align directly with Spring Data REST best practices* as the POST request (to create the object) **must also specify the link/association** (with the Parent Object). The alignment is impossible in this scenario because we cannot send a single POST request that sends both JSON (application/json) content and "text/uri-list".
            - Therefore, in this scenario, we have chosen to specify the link/association **via a query parameter** in the POST request. So, for example, a new Collection is created via a *single* POST request that passes the (new) Collection data in the request body (as JSON) and the Parent Community link as a parameter (e.g. ?parent=[uuid]).
        - For any object types that *can be created* without a Parent Object, we should follow Spring Data REST best practices. The object should be created first (via POST), then relationships established (via a PUT).
    - Updating Relationships for existing Objects (via PUT)
        - Whenever we are *updating* links/associations between objects (via PUT requests), both resources (objects) already exist. Therefore, we *can align with Spring Data REST best practices* and send a PUT request (whose request body is the list of URIs to link to, and whose request content type is "text/uri-list").
        - *SIDENOTE:* If we tried to apply the same *query parameter exception* to this scenario, the result would be a PUT request with an empty request body (as the link/association would be sent as a query parameter).
            - This approach is generally frowned upon in REST best practices, as both POST and PUT are expected to specify their data in either the request's *body* or headers. So, sending a POST/PUT with no body would be equivalent to sending a request to create a new empty object. In other words, the main data of a PUT or POST request is expected to be sent in the *body of the request* – so, a request with no body is a request that sends no data.
            - Related discussions on StackOverflow: https://stackoverflow.com/q/1619302/3750035, https://stackoverflow.com/a/1233569/3750035 and https://stackoverflow.com/a/7326648/3750035
- **We did not get to any of the below topics (as discussion went long).** Below notes are carried over from last week. Any updates or requests for more feedback should be made on Slack.
- Angular Team Updates (Art)
    - Merged PRs:
    - In Progress tickets / PRs:
    - Tickets / PRs requiring review:
        - https://github.com/DSpace/dspace-angular/pull/349 (Highest Priority)
        - https://github.com/DSpace/dspace-angular/pull/350 (based on same branch as 349)
        - https://github.com/DSpace/dspace-angular/pull/348 (based on same branch as 348)
        - https://github.com/DSpace/dspace-angular/pull/347 (end goal to support PATCHes of Metadata)
        - https://github.com/DSpace/dspace-angular/pull/342 (Item Actions - NEED Demo REST API Update)
        - https://github.com/DSpace/dspace-angular/pull/335 (BLOCKED by REST Contract discussions about Move Item)
        - https://github.com/DSpace/dspace-angular/pull/329 (Merge conflicts need fixing)
        - Submission/Workflow PR: https://github.com/DSpace/dspace-angular/pull/279
            - Giuseppe says this wIll be ready for final review by Monday, January 14. He will ping us
            - **ACTION:** Anyone who has added past comments (that are now fixed), please go in and *resolve your past comments* once you've verified the fix. That'll help us figure out what is outstanding (if anything)
- REST Team Updates
    - Open PRs: https://github.com/DSpace/DSpace/pulls?q=is%3Apr+is%3Aopen+label%3A%22REST+API+v7%22+sort%3Aupdated-desc
    - Merged PRs:
    - In Progress tickets / PRs:
    - Tickets / PRs requiring review:
        - **REST Contract tickets blocking other work (High Priority to get unblocked)**
            - Request to use Spring Data REST "text-uri/list" to manage links between objects (Resources: https://docs.spring.io/spring-data/rest/docs/current/reference/html/#repository-resources.association-resource and https://www.baeldung.com/spring-data-rest-relationships)
                - https://github.com/DSpace/Rest7Contract/pull/34
                - https://github.com/DSpace/Rest7Contract/pull/35
                - https://github.com/DSpace/Rest7Contract/pull/41
                - **ACTION:** Tim Donohue will reach out to Andrea Bollini (4Science)to understand whether we can move forward with parameters for now, and update this contract/implementation later. It's unclear to the team why these three tickets are blocked for Spring Data REST alignment, while others that involve links/associations (e.g. PR#37 (Item CRUD) and PR#38 (Metadata Registry)) were approved.
                - Should we consider fixing all these implementations to better align with Spring Data REST recommendations (perhaps in a future Ticket/PR)?
                - **ACTION:** We need to better document the requirements / best practices for our REST API. Currently alignment with Spring Data REST is not mentioned in our REST Contract README: https://github.com/DSpace/Rest7Contract/blob/master/README.md
        - Updating Collection/Community contracts (**Requires re-review**)
            - Feedback from Andrea, but needs re-review
            - https://github.com/DSpace/Rest7Contract/pull/33

- Metadata as a Map (**Requires re-review**)
  - REST Contract: https://github.com/DSpace/Rest7Contract/pull/39
  - Contra ini (4Science)
  - Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.
  - tion: https://github.com/DSpace/DSpace/pull/2287
  - Angula https://github.com/DSpace/dspace-angular/pull/347
- Metadata Regis
  - Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.
  - : https://github.com/DSpace/Rest7Contract/pull/38
  - REST https://github.com/DSpace/DSpace/pull/2291
- Administrative I
  - nable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.
  - https://github.com/DSpace/Rest7Contract/pull/37
  - REST https://github.com/DSpace/DSpace/pull/2290
- (*Did not discuss* icket)
  - Ticket: Unable to locate Jira server for this macro. It may be due to Application Link configuration.
  - PR: http
  - **ACTION: ALL will add comments/thoughts to DS-4036 so we can finalize a decision on whether "null" EPersons are OK or not.**
- *(Did not discuss)* DSpace 7 installation/customization process.
  - Updated PR could use more eyes: https://github.com/DSpace/DSpace/pull/2265
  - See also early docs at: DSpace Backend as One Webapp
- **Development planning/updates in Development Planning Spreadsheet.**
- **The Next Meeting will be Thurs, Jan 24 at 15:00UTC (10:00am EST) in DSpace Meeting Room**