

# 2020-10-19 Technical WG Agenda and Notes

## Date

19 Oct 2020


## Attendees

- [John Kunze](#)
- [Roxana Maurer](#)
- [Mark Phillips](#)
- [Karen Hanson](#)
- [Tom Creighton](#)
- [Curtis Mirci](#)

## Goals

- making progress on the ark?info inflection definition

## Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
	announcements  <p>Numbers of ARK-assigning organizations since 2001.</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Grant funded for IDs for physical specimens: archaeological, geo samples, etc.</li><li>• N2T resolver enhancement:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• CORS support</li><li>• Prefix extension mechanism “-dev” added to</li><li>• NaaN registry updates reflected automatically in a graphical fashion</li><li>• New website by National Library Luxembourg: <a href="https://info.persist.lu/en/">https://info.persist.lu/en/</a></li></ul></li><li>• A method for encoding IDs: <a href="https://github.com/tcreighton/brevis">https://github.com/tcreighton/brevis</a></li></ul>
	<a href="#">metadata model V2</a> how to make (faster) progress on defining what should come back from an ark?info inflection request		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• KH: wishlist is helpful looking for something simple to specify, eg, kernel but it can wait, so that we finish the spec. regarding n2t, it could be a reference or exemplar implementation</li><li>• Mark: unless you're creating a place to hang the core metadata, here's where you put the core elements, but don't think we should be in the business of defining those cores point to community mechanisms for defining the cores, but don't define themInfo? inflection data: what should be returned?</li><li>• Figuring out exactly what should be in the core spec vs extension is not easy.<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• New a couple of pages added to clarify the intent.</li><li>• need use case approach.</li></ul></li></ul> <p>TC: what machines will be requesting this? JK: I'd say same as the LOD users</p>

	<p>adopt-a-thing (use case)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• journals/articles</li> <li>• natural history specimens</li> <li>• genealogical records</li> <li>• archival record/object</li> <li>• vocabulary terms</li> <li>• persons</li> <li>• events</li> <li>• archaeological artifact</li> <li>• water sample</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• adopt-a-thing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• various assignments made</li> <li>• right now just trying to understand what metadata is of most value in a domain</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>TC: what I'd like my users to be able to look at a thing with an ARK and to get a formal citation to it and to its parent;  would be willing to sign up for this genealogical citation use case,  also possibly for persons</p> <p>KH: I could do the journal article use case</p> <p>MP: I'll look into archival record use case</p> <p>RM: here's an example authority record. is it a person</p> <p>JK: if it could be a person or org, maybe it's an Agent</p> <p>KH: how to put structure around, mechanism to bridge between core and kernel</p> <p>KH: how about pulling out the DataCite examples and applying this to it?</p> <p>MP: reminds me of what IIF does, eg, here's where pairs go define structure</p> <p>CM: will get advice from Brian about helping with use case</p>
--	--	--

## Action items

