2018-04-12 - Fedora Tech Meeting

Time/Place

This meeting is a hybrid teleconference and slack chat. Anyone is welcome to join...here's the info:

- Time: 11:00am Eastern Daylight Time US (UTC-4)
- Audio/Video Conference Link: https://duraspace.zoom.us/my/fedora
  - Dial-in:
    - +1 408 638 0968
    - +1 646 876 9923
    - +1 669 900 6833
  - Meeting ID: 812 835 3771
- Join fedora-project.slack.com on the "tech" channel

Attendees

- Danny Bernstein
- Andrew Woods
- Bethany Seeger
- Jared Whiklo
- Peter Eichman
- Doron Shalvi
- Kevin Ford
- Ben Pennell
- James Silas Creel
- David Wilson
- Aaron Birkland
- Mohamed Abdul Rasheed
- Yinlin Chen

Agenda

1. Second Alignment Sprint Starts Monday
   a. WebAC
   b. Versioning
   c. Documentation
   d. Miscellaneous bugs/improvements
2. Planning for the Transition: Two Fledgeling Efforts in need of volunteers.
   a. Adopters Guide
   b. Breaking Changes Between Fedora 4.7.x and 5.0.0
3. Sprint planning
   a. Update on Ben Pennell's work on mementos of binaries and their descriptions.
     i. Outstanding questions:
        1. Should we have LDP responses for mementos?
        2. Does a memento object act as a full LDP object, particularly with respect to headers?
        3. Are the edge things (such as headers) important for our users' workflows? Should we handle these?
        4. Is versioning for items only currently in the repo, or all items that have ever been in repo?
        5. Should Fedora keep versions of items that have been deleted?
     ii. Feedback from leadership?
   b. WEB ACLs
      i. Peter Eichman's assessment of moving WebACLs from modeshape.
      ii. FCREPO-2742 - Section 5: Allow single-document ACLs using hash URIs for authorizations. [CLOSED]
         1. Do we still want to support the current scheme for the sake of backward compatibility?
      iii. FCREPO-2743 - Section 5.0 Support acl:Control in ACLs [CLOSED]
         1. Discuss Aaron Birkland's questions in the issue comments section
         2. Should we consider abandoning Section 5.4 Specify ACL on resource creation in light of this issue as well as
            FCREPO-2744 - Remove need for acl:accessControl triple, or make it server-managed. [CLOSED] and
            FCREPO-2745 - Always Create (paths for) ACLs, and expose in link headers [CLOSED]
   c. FCREPO-2604 - Assure that messages are emitted when required by the spec [CLOSED]
4. Shall we consider using Duraspce checkstyle rules?
   a. Checkstyle Analysis
   b. Repo
   c. There are three rules in the fedora checkstyle rules that are not in the Duraspce checkstyle rules:
      i. requiring @author in javadoc
ii. "final" required for parameter variables
iii. "final" required for local variables
d. There are 40-odd lines in fcrepo4 that would need to be corrected to roll this out

Sprint tickets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Created</th>
<th>Updated</th>
<th>Due</th>
<th>Assignee</th>
<th>Reporter</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3697</td>
<td>Multi-request transactions can be put in a bad state</td>
<td>Apr 14, 2021</td>
<td>Apr 29, 2021</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td>Peter Winckles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3678</td>
<td>Fedora 5 and SSL configuration not working</td>
<td>Mar 19, 2021</td>
<td>Mar 19, 2021</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td>Jaime Penagos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3655</td>
<td>application/link-format returns multiple entries for the same second</td>
<td>Feb 25, 2021</td>
<td>Mar 03, 2021</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td>Jared Whiklo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3635</td>
<td>Fedora 6 does not start on Jetty 11 or Tomcat 10</td>
<td>Feb 16, 2021</td>
<td>Feb 19, 2021</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td>Peter Winckles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3620</td>
<td>Fedora 5 -&gt; 6 upgrade fails if a Fedora 5 export has retrieved the external binaries</td>
<td>Jan 29, 2021</td>
<td>Apr 29, 2021</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td>Jared Whiklo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3589</td>
<td>Membership/containment not returned as inbound references</td>
<td>Jan 06, 2021</td>
<td>Jan 27, 2021</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td>Ben Pennell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3585</td>
<td>Rollback with auto-versioning disabled can leave Fedora in an inconsistent state</td>
<td>Dec 09, 2020</td>
<td>Feb 03, 2021</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td>Peter Winckles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3584</td>
<td>Resource locking does not take into account ghost nodes</td>
<td>Dec 09, 2020</td>
<td>Feb 03, 2021</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td>Peter Winckles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3538</td>
<td>Wildcard searches and ids containing *</td>
<td>Nov 19, 2020</td>
<td>Nov 19, 2020</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td>Peter Winckles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Tickets resolved this week:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>T Created</th>
<th>Updated</th>
<th>Due</th>
<th>Assignee</th>
<th>Reporter</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3521</td>
<td>Ensure all RDF formats supported in fcrepo-import-export also available in fcrepo-upgrade-util</td>
<td>Nov 06, 2020</td>
<td>Nov 30, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>Danny Bernstein</td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3423</td>
<td>ACLs not displayed properly</td>
<td>Sep 12, 2020</td>
<td>Nov 18, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>Peter Winckles</td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3286</td>
<td>Exception on malformed Link header</td>
<td>Apr 25, 2020</td>
<td>Nov 18, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>Andrew Woods</td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3252</td>
<td>Disallow creating mementos within the same second</td>
<td>Mar 17, 2020</td>
<td>Nov 18, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>Peter Winckles</td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-2263</td>
<td>Reserved Characters in fragments are encoded by UriBuilder</td>
<td>Oct 09, 2016</td>
<td>Nov 27, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>Christopher Johnson</td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15 issues

2. Tickets created this week:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>T Created</th>
<th>Updated</th>
<th>Due</th>
<th>Assignee</th>
<th>Reporter</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3521</td>
<td>Ensure all RDF formats supported in fcrepo-import-export also available in fcrepo-upgrade-util</td>
<td>Nov 06, 2020</td>
<td>Nov 30, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>Danny Bernstein</td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3423</td>
<td>ACLs not displayed properly</td>
<td>Sep 12, 2020</td>
<td>Nov 18, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>Peter Winckles</td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3286</td>
<td>Exception on malformed Link header</td>
<td>Apr 25, 2020</td>
<td>Nov 18, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>Andrew Woods</td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3252</td>
<td>Disallow creating mementos within the same second</td>
<td>Mar 17, 2020</td>
<td>Nov 18, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>Peter Winckles</td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-2263</td>
<td>Reserved Characters in fragments are encoded by UriBuilder</td>
<td>Oct 09, 2016</td>
<td>Nov 27, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>Christopher Johnson</td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No issues found

3. Tickets created this week:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>T Created</th>
<th>Updated</th>
<th>Due</th>
<th>Assignee</th>
<th>Reporter</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3521</td>
<td>Ensure all RDF formats supported in fcrepo-import-export also available in fcrepo-upgrade-util</td>
<td>Nov 06, 2020</td>
<td>Nov 30, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>Danny Bernstein</td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3423</td>
<td>ACLs not displayed properly</td>
<td>Sep 12, 2020</td>
<td>Nov 18, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>Peter Winckles</td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3286</td>
<td>Exception on malformed Link header</td>
<td>Apr 25, 2020</td>
<td>Nov 18, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>Andrew Woods</td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-3252</td>
<td>Disallow creating mementos within the same second</td>
<td>Mar 17, 2020</td>
<td>Nov 18, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>Peter Winckles</td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCREP O-2263</td>
<td>Reserved Characters in fragments are encoded by UriBuilder</td>
<td>Oct 09, 2016</td>
<td>Nov 27, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td>Christopher Johnson</td>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN</td>
<td>Unresolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No issues found

Minutes

1. Upcoming Sprint
   a. Emerging focus on WebAC.
   b. Versioning will probably go through the whole two weeks
   c. Documentation will be needed:
      i. Adopters Guide - can someone look at a pending PR and approve it?
      ii. Document: Breaking Changes Between 4.7.x and 5.0.0 - created as a place to put differences
   d. Focus is mainly API alignment, but if there's time maybe have some folks working on fixing issues that are not specifically sprint related.
   e. Danny Bernstein has been doing some sprint planning which should be complete by Friday. If you know of an issue that he should consider as part of the sprint, let him know. He will update the sprint alignment document and send an email on Thursday or Friday of this week.
   f. Sprint Kickoff meeting is at 11 am ET on Monday morning. Seems like that time works for most, if not all.

2. Sprint Planning
   a. Memento discussion - Ben Pennell talked about approach the group seems to be coalescing on. He is working on implementing that and hoping to have a PR this afternoon so people can see what this approach would look like. He is having a few issues getting subjects correct right now. Issue around etags and the wrong one being returned when asking for description (of a binary) - you currently get the
etag of the binary. Not sure why it was done this way, may have been a convenience thing. Should we make it so you get the
descriptions etag when you ask for it? General thought is that the binary's description should return it's own etag - general concensus
here. Ben will work with this approach in his PR. We'll go down this road for now and reconsider if we learn more about why this
behavior was created this way in the first place.

b. LDP responses for mementos question talk about - headers persisted as part of the mementos? consideration of etags
   i. The acl wouldn't be part of the memento, so not stored with them
   ii. apply permissions to time map for creation / view / delete of memento
   iii. as time goes along and permissions might get changed – you don't want the permission from 1 month ago to work with some of
       your mementos and others have different perms. Idea is that all the mementos fall into the same bucket – and the permission
       for that bucket, as a whole, is what might change.
   iv. ACL’s for mementos - an alg could look at current resources ACL – that ACL is what's applied to all memento
       resources. Before you check the original resource, you check the time map first so that the mementos could have different
       perms.
       1. Peter Eichman - would have to duplicate ACLs?
       2. mementos can only be read or deleted, so do they need a different ACL? Can they follow whatever inheritance path
          structure we define?
   v. feedback from leadership on deleting a resource - what does it mean for the versions? leadership seems to like the idea of
       keeping the tombstone with versions still in place upon deleting of resource. So the versions live under the tombstone. So one
       could recover. Need to think about how to restore a version from a tombstone and maybe keep the same URL. Then what
       happens when you delete the fcr:tombstone – all mementos will get purged for that resource.

c. WebACLs
   i. Assessment of removing WebACLs from Modeshape. Danny took a quick look and didn't think it'd be too complicated to
      separate the two. Perhaps we can accomplish this during the sprint
   ii. There is a no more then 1:1 resource:ACL, which ignores inheritance. If an ACL triple is editable by the user, then we can run
      into complications of change to that triple or adding more ACL triples.
   iii. What is the implication of dropping 5.4 from the spec? – Perhaps when you create a resource, it has a default location for that
      resources ACL, whether or not one is there.
      1. Maybe we have a server managed location like fcr:accl - that would be a starting point for locating the ACL, if nothing
         at fcr:accl, go up the inheritance tree from there to get the ACL.
      2. Example from Peter Eichman: top level PCDM container – all the PCDM modelled collections are all siblings under
         that. There is an ACL on that parent container, so no resource in it has it's own ACL. Per collection defaults for ACLs
         their current heirarchy won't work for them because of data modeling. AccessToClass impl would make that
         available to them. Creation of resources would need to add an appropriate access class to that.
   3. Leads back to question of what is best practice for data modeling in Fedora 4. At one point in time it seemed like the
      above scenario was a fairly performant way to model ones data.

Actions

- Danny Bernstein: to create list of breaking changes in Fedora5
- Danny Bernstein: to send message to community wrt whether webac breaking change is tolerable in Fedora5 (or should continue to be
  supported, and deprecated)
- Danny Bernstein: create JIRA ticket too look at recovering a resource from a tombstone version.
- Peter Eichman: Do short write-up of ACL inheritance conundra.
- Danny Bernstein: To reach out to SOLID folks with Peter Eichman's concerns (https://github.com/solid/web-access-control-spec/issues/24)