Controlled Vocabs Decision Tree Meeting 2020-02-18

Connection Info:
1-2pm Eastern
Zoom: https://iu.zoom.us/j/207369760

Notetaker: Julie Hardesty

Attendees: Rebecca Pattillo, Erik Radio, Dawn Childress, Lynette Rayle, Gretchen Gueguen, Julie Hardesty

Agenda/Notes:

- Introductions
- Working Group goals
  - Understand scope and objectives
  - Understand deliverables and timeframe
- Regular meeting time (how often and when)
- Use cases for using controlled vocabularies behind metadata fields - starter discussion
- Sources for controlled vocabularies - approaches to gather these?

Notes:

- Working Group goals
  - Understand scope and objectives
    - Hyrax and Hyku
      - Hyku CVs can only be set at topmost level so multi-tenet install has hard time setting CVs correctly per tenet
      - Seems to be biggest problem for local CVs
    - Local department list either has to be set for particular work type or has to be pickable from a whole list of CVs on a single field
    - Mix of metadata and work type and CVs - we should consider this and if we can provide helpful documentation or identify needed changes in Hyrax/Hyku
  - Understand deliverables and timeframe
    - Through Samvera Connect 2020 seems reasonable, start off meeting biweekly to keep momentum
- Regular meeting time (how often and when)
  - Every other Tuesday starting March 3, 3-4pm Eastern
- Use cases for using controlled vocabularies behind metadata fields - starter discussion
  - spreadsheet
    - Hyrax fields that have CVs
    - How they're sourced (locally or directly from hosted vocab)
    - Geonames is pulled through Questioning Authority (QA) for based_near field (geographic subject)
    - QA is part of Hyrax
    - Also the case for Hyku since Hyku is based on Hyrax and has been for long enough to include QA
    - Languages, Subjects (maybe topical subject) through QA but local (defined in yaml file) - there are choices to connect to hosted vocabs but local versions come out of the box
    - Licenses, resource types, and right statements - drop-downs but served through QA locally
    - Formats is a field that often uses CV (more fine-grained than Type)
    - Document what is there, come up with use cases and fields (hyrax things), commonly used/available vocabs
  - Other things like this
    - Clearinghouse for library based tools
      - Came up in DLF Assessment Group - tools section of their site might have it
      - Gretchen to investigate
    - Open Metadata Registry - good example but also not sure how it is maintained/seems kind of loose
- Sources for controlled vocabularies - approaches to gather these?
  - Lots of vocabularies out there and things that gather lists of vocabs might have hard time staying updated (see Open Metadata Registry)
  - Might be better to focus on tips for evaluating vocabs when they are found
- Create new Slack channel for this working group - DONE (#cv-decision-tree)