Controlled Vocabs Decision Tree Meeting 2020-03-03

Connection Info:
3-4pm Eastern
Zoom: https://iu.zoom.us/j/207369760

Notetaker: Julie Hardesty

Attendees: Rebecca, Erik, Dawn, Julie, Lynette

Agenda/Notes:

- **Controlled Vocabularies - Use Cases**
  - Hyrax MAP - https://samvera.github.io/metadata_application_profile.html
  - Hyku MAP - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1RXRT08236E98RTKASX-bL60qLoBeZyXFUSD4XBO1aE/edit
  - Hyrax/Hyku don’t connect up Creator/Contributor but you might want to consider doing that
  - Seeing what we have to know what we might want to add to this list
  - Some places with same fields that use different CVs
  - who is audience? maybe they know some of this but not all of it
    - may know which CVs to use but not how to implement or know they want CV but not which one
  - QA tab
    - QA can be used in any app, not just Hyrax - has to be Ruby app that can be accessed via CURL, so any application with access to CURL
  - reorg spreadsheet
    - not Hyrax fields, but just Fields, then column for Hyrax/Hyku
    - column for general concept of fields
      - Rights (while Hyrax calls this “Rights statement”)
    - consider MODS/RDF mapping that include properties that are beyond default Hyrax fields (see MODS and RDF Descriptive Metadata Subgroup)
      - make sure generalized field names are same as MODS/RDF recommendations
      - Add column to specify MODS element name
  - Try column for IR or Digital Collections
  - Data Sets - metadata tends to be pretty basic (Erik’s XP) but might not have specialized fields

- **Controlled Vocabulary (CV) Decision Tree**
  - this could work as a decision tree
    - might be differences in way you handle fields (wouldn’t use CV for creator in IR, probably, for example)
    - Hyrax allows you to choose from multiple CVs for same field; might want to use different CVs for different types of fields (not sure if Hyrax requires that those are technically different fields, like with different field names)
  - provide options for CVs to use (simpler than LCSH, more subject-appropriate than LCSH, for example)
  - include Intro that connects to MODS/RDF recommendations for grounding in how we’re naming types of fields
  - what is goal of work? aiming to simplify work or aiming to provide options for specialized cataloging?
  - For each field, list individual CVs that could be used, then provide groupings of CVs (that are listed in document now) for general searching

- **Next steps**
  - Doodle poll for alternate meeting time week of March 16 - Julie
  - Identify additional use cases?
    - Fill in spreadsheet with fields we already use or know are used with CVs
  - Write up first section of Decision Tree document
  - Contemplate what would be enhancements for Hyrax