**Time:** 10:00 am PDT / 1:00 pm EDT

**Call-In Info:** 1-530-881-1400, access code 651025

**Moderator:** Karen Estlund (Univ. of Oregon)

**Notetaker:** Carolyn Hansen (Univ. of Cincinnati)

**Attendees:**
- Karen Estlund (Univ of Oregon)
- Carolyn Hansen (Univ. of Cincinnati)
- Dave Budenberg (Symplectic)
- Nick Ruest (York Univ.)
- Kate Gerrity (Amherst College)
- Steven Anderson (Boston Public Library)
- Corey Harper (New York Univ.)
- Julie Hardesty (Indiana Univ.)
- Arwen Hutt (UCSD)

**Agenda:**

1. Subgroup Reports
   a. Applied Linked Data Subgroup
   b. Rights Metadata Subgroup
   c. Descriptive Subgroup
2. Issues / Questions
3. Interest Group and Working Group model
4. Keeping Track of Metadata WG Requests and Priorities
5. WebACLs and use cases: Design - WebAccessControl Authorization Delegate
6. HydraConnect Presentations
7. Additional Items
8. Action Items

**Applied Linked Data Subgroup**
- Steven: linked data fragments in a few serializations, working to implement more functionality; active triples in GitHub, James added a license
- Steven: stand up on Friday, focusing on getting linked data fragments to a usable level, index functionality, update Solr index labels
- Steven: not planning another official sprint, doing standups, carving out time to make code contributions
- Corey: likes idea of other sprint, but not at this time
- Steven: Goals of what to present at HydraConnect: will discuss on next call

**Rights Metadata Subgroup**
- Karen: reviewing and making comments on recommended descriptive elements, sending out to main list for feedback
- Karen: sent email to Islandora and Hydra Tech List regarding access rights

**Descriptive Subgroup**
- Carolyn: Group was going to deploy survey this week, but heard from User Interface Group that they would like to have a call with the subgroup. Working to get this set up and will deploy the survey after. Corey volunteered to work on agenda for meeting with User Interface Group
- Steven: MODS subgroup meeting time is 9pst/12est on every other Monday, starting July 27th, MODS and RDF Descriptive Metadata Subgroup

**Interest Group and Working Group model**
- Karen: chartered out of Hydra Tech, interest group charters different working groups, should metadata be an interest group instead, b/c of clear deliverables we made it a working group, does it make sense to have a standing interest group? (The model we have now will work fine for initial deliverables for HydraConnect)
- Corey: what are the practical differences? Is this mostly a semantic difference?
- Karen: Getting encouragement from steering committee to change to interest, since working groups can be temporary
- Julie: If metadata WG needs to last and changing to interest group helps that, it's fine

**Keeping Track of Metadata WG Requests and Priorities**
- Karen: need an open and better way to track metadata projects, would like to create metadata repository in Project Hydra Labs
- Julie: are other interest groups management projects through this?
- Karen: no, don't think so.
- Corey: Moving to Hydra Labs, it makes it harder to contribute because of the licensing issues. Would feel awkward posting to Project Hydra since NYU isn't a Hydra partner.
- Karen: b/c content is not mostly related to code, Github may not be best place. Would Islandora folks care about posting to Project Hydra?
- Nick: don't think so
- Julie: it gets hard to find stuff if we spread out issues on different systems
- Karen: Should we try in GitHub, or add a page in Confluence?
- Julie: maybe try it in Confluence, and if it can't be managed well, we have more of a reason to switch to Github
- Corey: another possibility would be to create Google Group, oneplace, searchable with subject lines
- Karen: there is some resistance to any new Google Groups. We'll start with a new Confluence page
- Julie: if multiple people can help, I'm willing to
- Karen: that would be great

WebACLs and use cases: Design - WebAccessControl Authorization Delegate

- one of the rights metadata was to align hydra access controls with WebAccessControl
- contacted Andrew Woods, just getting under way, if people on metadata group could comment on the link and add use cases (within the next week)
- working on getting someone in Islandora on the next sprint

HydraConnect Presentations

- Karen: could we have each subgroup do a 20 minute presentation and additional breakout sessions?
- Corey: that's a lot of content, not sure we have enough to fill that time
- Steven: be fine if we shared a 20 minute block w/ the other groups, lightening talk styles
- Julie: what if we have an Hydra Metadata WG session and then divide it up however makes sense? maybe 30-45 minutes total?
- Karen: I think this will be the approach

Additional Items

- Corey: is there a way of communicating about sessions/slots/presentations for HydraConnect?
- Karen: HB2015 program, using Waffle to organize -- it's public but draft - not to be considered final, just another way to view confluence page, http://waffle.io/pbinkley/HC2015-program, official list of workshops will be going up soon

Action Items

- Karen: either Esme or I will send out rights metadata recommendations for comment
- Group members should comment on use cases for WebAccessControl, Design - WebAccessControl Authorization Delegate
- Karen will start Confluence page about issues, requests, etc.