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Risk of not knowing what to search for

- Providing discovery endpoints
  - “hardened” SPARQL endpoints may be less prone to down time – e.g., Fuseki documentation states that “authentication and control of the number of concurrent requests can be added using an Apache server”
- publishing starting points with examples and standard extracts may help
  - emulate Social Explorer http://socialexplorer.com as a way to query the contents of a larger data source, in that case census data
  - the linked data fragments technology (http://linkeddatafragments.org) may facilitate hosting linked data without the server-side overhead and risk of a public SPARQL endpoint
- VIVO/Vitro ‘rich export’ – augmenting standard linked data responses with standard queries
  - e.g., get all a person’s publications from a single request rather than client having to issue multiple requests

Synchronizing harvested information

- Risk of harvested or aggregated information going out of sync
  - Resource sync standard addressed the need to repeatedly synchronize and update
- Semantic Web crawling leveraging HTML web crawler experience
  - what’s attached
  - what has changed

Desire to be able to query on different axes

- e.g., query OCLC Works by VIAF identifier to get a list of works by that author

Reconciliation services

- not necessarily centralized or monopolies
- would work best in an iterative mode, with curation and provenance to manage difference of opinion (or evidence)
  - who’s made that assertion – differentiate librarians from crowdsourcing
  - some way to express variable confidence levels
- incorporate feedback from users
- need protocols – could leverage a common API for reconciliation building on the OpenRefine API — specify as much metadata as you have, get ranked results back
- surface (publish) the results – known servers, as with annotations – select which servers to request responses or harvest data from
  - notifications of new matches?
  - ability to +1 or thumbs-up the connection to corroborate – Reddit gets a lot of traction that way
  - repeating assertions in multiple repositories
  - sameAs.org but with other expressions for and levels of confidence in the relationship

Validation

- RDF data shapes working group
- DCMI tutorial on RDF validation
- Measure the consistency of ontology use
- Linked data needs mashup tools that test connections and illustrate bringing data together

Ontology extension mechanisms

- Schema.org extensions being proposed and managed on GitHub
  - Bib Extend group and BiblioGraph

Ability to push bookmarks

- Small graphs of data, consumable by others, to a platform similar to Mendeley but not limited to bibliographic material
- A service where I can push the results of my search, organized by topic
- Add things to a collection I have
- Similar to an annotation service
• You search, you refine it, you step back — now only save as bookmarks at one level
• Nobody can use your web bookmarks now
• Hide the URIs behind a UI

Additional ideas

• Semantic autotagging
• Nanopublications – breaking academic articles into independent assertions with a mechanism to agree/disagree
• Side wikis – a plugin for the Netscape browser where a wiki could be associated with any web page and display additional, user-entered content or commentary on any web page
• Individual libraries will become the authorities for special collections — items, people, events
  • queries to a central area would find a match
  • cache the sameAs so don’t have to re-query; everybody who consumes has the cross-links
  • the sort of thing that OCLC might end up doing — could be any type of object — logical to start with works
• regular expressions to apply against EAD to suggest what is linked to; feed into a system to validate, then give pointers to the link
• a clustering algorithm to track the number of times a link between two entities is traversed, effectively shortening the distance between them
• a better page rank algorithm for linked data
• anybody a favorite semantic search engine (no – too siloed)
• visualizations have to be crafted individually