05/17/19

Attending

Time: 12:00PM PDT / 03:00PM EDT - 01:00PM PDT / 04:00PM EDT

Zoom: [https://princeton.zoom.us/j/281265700](https://princeton.zoom.us/j/281265700)

Participants

- Trey Pendragon (Princeton University Library)
- Mark Bussey (Data Curation Experts)
- James R. Griffin III (Princeton University Library)

Agenda

- Sprint Review (Please see 05/10/19 (Sprint Retrospective))
- Solrizer Status ([https://github.com/samvera/solrizer/issues/54](https://github.com/samvera/solrizer/issues/54))
- Call for Issues + Communicate with Product Owners

Notes

Sprint Review

- CircleCI migration work is now complete
- Need to find stable branches which didn’t get released
- Solr Conf. issues for active-fedora also need to be addressed

Solrizer Status

- Does not have a Product Owner
- We realized this when moving it to CircleCI and put out a call to the mailing list
- How do we want to proceed?
- Everything that we need for Solr field name generation should now just be in active-fedora
- Mark might take on this Gem with the hope of providing some final documentation and then deprecating it
- It was already removed from hydra-works
- If nobody volunteers to serve as the Product Owner, it needs to be deprecated
- We need to create tickets for removing it entirely from active-fedora and providing some documentation
- We still need the functionality, and it remains in active-fedora - Mark could chaperone this if it is needed
- Created an issue in the “maintenance” repository for deprecating Solrizer (#19: [https://github.com/samvera/maintenance/issues/19](https://github.com/samvera/maintenance/issues/19))
- Leave the Product Owner in place for now, and then have the Component Maintenance WG communicate that we are deprecating it

Call for Issues + Communicate with Product Owners

- Calling for issues which you need help with
- Add it to the maintenance Project Board
- Also, an e-mail to Samvera Tech: if you have PR which needs to be reviewed, add it to our board, and we can review it on Friday
- We should open the WG to look at whichever PRs are added to the Board
- This is first experimental, but hopefully, this will be sustainable

Samvera Partners Meeting Discussion

- Are there any outstanding discussion points following the meeting?
- Everything was fairly well received
- More resourcing would be helpful, but this was also voiced by those involved in maintaining Hyrax
- All were pleased to know that there was some Working Group addressing the maintenance work
- If we want more assistance, there might be some planning or thought for recruitment or onboarding for community developers
- Maybe some time in the future...one of our requirements for a core components is that a member of the WG should care about the component
- Deprecating Gems within this WG which required larger community investment should be scoped out and discussed in terms of requirements
- It would be desired to have our input into active-fedora with regards to supporting Fedora 5 and the OCFL
• Roadmap Council: Having a discussion every six months...should anything fall out of support, we are the party which would hopefully surface this
• Ruby on Rails version support is being addressed
• Fedora 5 question is an interesting one, the expectation was that the Product Owner might play a role in discussing the support
• If this WG is expected to play more of an active role in facilitating these discussions, it might raise issues with regards to support for certain legacy Gems (e.g. Fedora 3 for Rubydora)
• FITS version support within hydra-file_characterization would be an example of the role of Product Owners
• Hopefully more of these discussions with emerge after Product Owners are contacted before the next scheduled meeting

Meeting was adjourned at 12:29PDT/15:26 EDT