CCMWG - 08/06/18

Attending

Time: 12:00PM PDT/03:00PM EDT - 01:00PM PDT/04:00PM EDT

Zoom: https://princeton.zoom.us/j/397525264

Participants

- Tom Johnson (Data Curation Experts)
- Bess Sadler (Data Curation Experts)
- Noah Botimer (U. Michigan Library)
- James R. Griffin III (Princeton University Library)

Agenda

- Reviewing the Maintenance Waffle Board Label Colors
- Update on the e-mail sent to the community updating product owners on labels for the first maintenance sprint
- Update on the Roadmap Council nomination request from Richard Green
- Review GitHub Issues for the Initial Sprint
- Preparation for Samvera Connect 2018
  - Partner Meeting Update
  - Additional Agenda Items?

Notes

- Griffin closed BrowseEverything issue (merging the Pull Request did not close the issue)
- Regarding samvera-labs/maintenance #1, #2, and #3
  - Templates probably already exist, we should locate these
- Adding contact/info to hydra-pcdm seems pretty actionable
  - #253
    - need to find the actual party holding the license
    - Ben: Tracking down contributors and employers?
    - Noah: Just find the right copyright statement
    - Johnson: That work happened under CLA
    - Copyright clearance shouldn't be the issue, just find who owns it
    - Sadler: Copyright belongs to "the authors", this is due to the fact that no one can legally give up copyright
    - Attribute copyright in a meaningful way
    - Johnson: In some cases, some contributors hold the copyright explicitly, while referencing other authors from the commit log
    - Armintor: Work for hire issue might become an issue...commit log does not reflect the employer of the authors
    - Sadler: Perhaps use "various authors, see the commit log", "institutional contributors"
    - Botimer: We effectively have to offer a copyright statement for the license to be valid
    - Johnson: Try and use the approach used by Hyrax, defer to Steering
    - Sadler: This was discussed by Steering at some point in the past
    - Botimer: Is it reasonable to refer to this as covered by an umbrella?
      - No copyright granted from the individuals, but as we redistributed this code base...
      - We have no organization which we can reference
    - Armintor: Another approach would be to document the places where we have no copyright holder identified;
      - Can report to Partners about this...perhaps enforce this moving ahead.
      - If this is work for hire and your employer isn't represented in the copyright statement...pitch it back to the Partners
      - Sadler: Are we really worried about copyright?
    - Johnson: We aren't terribly worried...covered pretty clearly by CLAs
      - Question more about licensing than clearance
    - Armintor: Is this project being cared for by the entire Samvera organization?
      - Not interested in proper enforcement or attribution
      - Prefer that we have something more solid...come up with a way to state something more useful...redistribution should include
        the copyright notice
      - Need to have this copyright notice in place, otherwise it will be problematic
    - Sadler: What is the process for getting prose?
    - Johnson: #3 should resolve this in the broad case
    - Botimer: We should look up the old template from Steering
- We should not start from scratch...start from the original memorandum of understanding
- Armintor:
  - (Referenced Code Copyright Statement)
- #251
  - Substantial issue for hydra-pcdm
  - #250 is blocked by #251 (cannot release with a failing build)
  - Otherwise, aim to release this on a reasonable timeline
  - Botimer:
    - Everything was passing and going to 5.2
    - There will need to be some small code changes
  - Johnson:
    - If this can't be released, we need some blocking issues derived from this ticket
- active_fedora
  - 1320
  - Johnson:
    - The format is older than what we're using to satisfy GitHub
    - Also, 2011 for Stanford and MediaShelf, LLC
    - Close as "won't fix"? Maybe update license to the newer format?
  - Botimer:
    - Agrees, assuming that the additional text is added
    - Question would be whether we add something from this year?
    - At this point the statement is incorrect
  - Johnson:
    - This license should follow the template in samvera-labs#3
- ldp
  - #93
  - Johnson:
    - Copyright concerns...this repo. was in Chris Beer’s namespace for a while
  - Armintor:
    - Sympathetic about wanting to avoid Steering...but it still might be worth mentioning that the licenses have problems in popular repositories
  - Johnson:
    - Still prefer to work with the template...otherwise, possible opportunities for bike-shedding
  - #97
  - Related to samvera-labs/maintenance#2
- Additional Gems
  - Johnson:
    - Do we want to add any additional Gems?
  - Botimer:
    - Perhaps...move more of the issues which relate to housekeeping (e.g., contributing guidelines from other Gems)
- browse_everything
  - Not enough time to release 1.0 during the sprints
- hydra-editor
  - Integrate code coverage
- rubydora
  - #113
  - Johnson:
    - Does this have a lower priority? If so...perhaps
  - Botimer:
    - Yes, but it should be straightforward
  - hydra-derivatives
  - browse_everything
  - #227
  - Johnson:
    - MIT vs. Apache2
    - Who can decide?
    - Rights holder is every contributor
    - MIT punts are not under Apache 2
    - This WG might not be able to migrate the license
    - Might need to leave the Samvera namespace
  - Botimer:
    - Under MIT relicensing is possible
    - Documentation change, relicense, and copyright it as Apache2
    - This requires that we provide the existing MIT license
  - Johnson:
    - We may or may not need to retain the MIT license
    - Seems to be a high priority issue
  - Armintor:
    - License needs to be appropriate to the project
  - Botimer:
    - There is no particular choice here...
  - Johnson:
    - Integration of Browse Everything into the CLA process
    - Doesn't reference Apache2 directly
    - It may just be totally compatible...would like to pin this and leave it out of sprint
  - Next meeting we need to come back and check in
    - Require Apache 2 licenses for all Samvera namespaced projects or just avoid concerns?
- browse_everything
• Contributing documentation samvera-labs/2
• active_fedora
  • Gemnasium ticket moved to “Ready”
• hydra-editor
  • #156
• Contributing guidelines moved
• hydra-works
  • #344
• Moved to “ready”
• Reviewing The Project Waffle Board
  • Johnson:
    • Sprint planned?
  • Botimer:
    • Noticeable dependency for the templates within samvera-labs/maintenance
  • Johnson:
    • We should resolve these immediately
  • Are there any other items we need to address? Preparation for Samvera Connect?
  • Armintor:
    • We should consolidate this into a report/retrospective as we proceed with the sprint

Meeting was adjourned at 15:56 EDT