09/20/19

Attending

Time: 12:00PM PDT/03:00PM EDT - 01:00PM PDT/04:00PM EDT

Zoom: https://princeton.zoom.us/j/281265700

Participants

- Trey Pendragon (Princeton University Library)
- Bess Sadler (Data Curation Experts)
- Tom Johnson (UC Santa Barbara)
- James R. Griffin III (Princeton University Library)

Agenda

- 2019 Samvera Technical Health Report
- GitHub Board Review Column

Notes

Reviewing the Health Report

- Quantifying contributions to the WG should highlight that the amount of contribution is pretty sparse
  - The estimate in the report is pretty generous
  - Tom: Keeping it is a fine resolution (if nobody else objects to it being 3 FTE)
- Promoted fewer Gems than we deprecated
- Compatibility Reports
  - Formatting?
  - Placing the tables on their own pages
- We should add Rails 6 support as a Dev Congress topic
  - Supporting Rails releases for certain components might be blocked upstream by Blacklight
  - Why does ldp test against Rails?
  - If this is an unacceptable state, then we need to be tracking the Rails release 4-5 months ahead of each stable release
  - Hyrax is hopefully weeks away from a Rails 5.2 compliant release
- Release Report
  - There is now unreleased code
  - Components without new releases typically don't require anything additional
- Security
  - GitHub offers a policy tab
  - This should be configured
  - Alerting WG to security issues
    - We should encourage community members to contact us
- Policy
  - Samvera as a whole has a policy for security issues
  - Wiki: Report a security vulnerability
    - E-Mail Samvera Steering
    - There is also a longer document...there is communication earlier first
    - Then, there is a delay before the public is made aware of this
    - There is also: Known security vulnerabilities
      - This should be public, and we should only publish these after they have been fixed
  - We communicate with Steering and inform them that we will notify them
    - This might be an item for the next phase of this WG
- Do we need any additional sections for the report?
  - All concur, this is finalized

Working Group Deliverables

- We should clarify that item 6 should include generating the report using the tool Ben made
- We still need to run the component assessment tool
- We also need to discuss our renewal process
  - We are running the WG until a few weeks following Samvera Connect 2019
  - We either generate a CfP for the next phase prior to Samvera Connect
- We need to identify a new chair
- Or, after Samvera Connect, we issue the CIP
- Here, the CIP will be extended past the life of the phase
- Do we generate the CIP for the next phase prior to Samvera Connect
- Tom: We should have a CIP in draft
- Trey and Tom cannot commit to chairing the next round of this group
- Trey:
  - Prior to Samvera Connect, identify a chair
  - If we are unable to find one from Connect, dissolve the group
  - Having the CIP drafted prior to Connect has limitations
    - We need feedback captured during Connect in order to determine the deliverables for the WG
    - We should still draft this prior to Connect
    - Send it out after Connect
    - Make it last for three weeks, and make this WG last for that period
- Tom:
  - There is an outstanding question regarding the goals for the next phase
  - Do we maintain these charts and ensure that they are all green?
  - Or, do we address feature-related work?
    - We can only determine this by gauging the discussion at Connect
- Trey:
  - We are reporting to Partners on Monday
  - We can share that information during that report

**Next Week**

- Draft a CIP
  - Homework: Consider who would like to serve as a Chair

*Meeting adjourned at 12:29 PDT/15:29 EDT*