04/05/19

Attending

Time: 12:00PM PDT / 03:00PM EDT - 01:00PM PDT / 04:00PM EDT

Zoom: https://princeton.zoom.us/j/281265700

Participants

- Trey Pendragon (Princeton University Library)
- Bess Sadler (Data Curation Experts)
- Mark Bussey (Data Curation Experts)
- Noah Botimer (University of Michigan)
- James R. Griffin III (Princeton University Library)

Agenda

- Sprint Scheduling Doodle Poll (https://doodle.com/poll/x9ra86uts6tnbgxv)
- Assess the migrated GitHub Project
- Outline what needs to be addressed during a manual migration from Waffle
- Sprint Planning

Notes

Sprint Scheduling

- Noah is not available for full-time commitment to the sprints
- First 3 weeks before Summer, Mark and Bess would contribute at the capacity of one FTE (50-75% each)
- From July onwards, they will be at higher capacity

- Earliest date would be May 6th
- Otherwise, it would be July
- (All agree with this)
- Trey: Proposes that the week of May 6th is the first
- Tom won’t be available, DCE will be at limited capacity
- Week July 29th would be the second week-long sprint

- Any sprints following these, regroup after May 6th
- Noah: Hoping for any issues which consume significant time might be delegated to themselves
- Would prefer that all participating be attentive to these situations

Assess the Migrated GitHub Project

- Waffle sent Trey an e-mail requesting a seconding attempt at the migration
- Noah: Exploring alternatives to Waffle.io
- Bess: Finds ZenHub to have some appeal
- Can have an unlimited number of projects on the same board
- Trey: Princeton also evaluated this, but found Zube.io to be the preferred platform
- It automatically links Pull Requests to issues, and offers label synchronization
- ZenHub is free, whereas Zube does not offer a free tier of service
- HubBoard should also be explored
- GitHub Projects don’t seem to support the linking of issues between Projects

- ZenHub has good bulk management tools for manually addressing the Waffle migration

Sprint Planning

The upcoming sprints need to address the following:

1. Propose deprecation of om
2. Centralize on the version of Ruby and Rails versions which we test
3. Centralize on using CircleCI for continuous integration
Chris Colvard has added CircleCI to a number of projects in order to support Rails 5.2 across components
With this has come the CircleCI Orb: https://github.com/samvera-labs/samvera-circleci-orb
Samvera is on a trial for CircleCI's new pricing structure
This is hourly (as opposed to the number of boxes/containers used during the testing)
We have 200 boxes and they track the amount of time which we use
If the pricing is acceptable after this trial, the enhanced performance might remain the standard
Otherwise, we might need to revisit how best to restructure build matrices (in order to avoid queuing delays)

Proposals for Other Work

Bess: active-fedora
  - https://github.com/samvera/active_fedora/pull/1294
  - This is blocked by hydra-head (and a few other dependencies)
  - The value added is that the generators between Hyrax and Blacklight create different configuration directories for Solr
  - We need to ensure that the directory structure does not conflict with the Blacklight-generated config. directories internally

(Waffle.io migrated to GitHub Projects successfully)

- It appears that GitHub Organizations can, themselves, have Projects
- There are issues from multiple repositories on the Organization Project
- There are usability difficulties when searching through the issues for the Project
- We still would need to manually add the issue cards for the board
- samvera/hydra-derivatives cannot link to the samvera-labs Project
- We could create samvera/maintenance as an Organizational Project

Zube: One cannot add repositories from Organizations users don't own

Sprint Planning

- We are still not fixing bugs, and are just focusing upon maintenance issues
- hydra-head Issues
  - Use ’solr/conf’ rather than ’solr/config’ when ActiveFedora changes
  - Might be best to fix ActiveFedora before addressing this in hydra-head
- Integrating CircleCI for Core Components
  - noid-rails
  - hydra-pcdm (this already has an outstanding PR)
  - The list of components will be completed after this meeting has been concluded

- Consider test coverage tickets for another sprint
- Ensure that desired Ruby/Rails versions are used in testing

Hydra Gem Mailing List Thread (samvera-tech)

- Adopters should be encouraged to avoid using the hydra Gem
- The Gem was deprecated, but it is clear that others can maintain a deprecated Gem
- Mark will respond to the thread

Meeting was adjourned at 12:38PDT/15:38 EDT