URI Selection Working Group

Scope & Objectives

This working group acts as a standing working group within the Samvera Metadata Interest Group to review any requests for new vocabulary terms (predicates and controlled vocab/values) from the Samvera Community to be added to the Samvera Vocab Manager.

Deliverables & Timeframe

This group is scheduled to meet bi-weekly to review requests for new terms to be added to the Samvera Vocab Manager. If no requests are outstanding, meetings do not need to be held. As such, the working group does not have a sunset date but members on the group will sunset off after 1 year. Deliverables will be new predicates and new controlled vocabulary terms added to the Samvera Vocab Manager to be used by the Samvera Community and others for RDF/Linked Data needs.

Record and update best practices for review process.

Call for Community Submissions of Predicates

Meeting Times & Communication Channels

Communication will take place on the samvera-tech list and the #metadata Slack channel.

Meetings: Next meeting January 26th, 9:00am PDT (Agenda)


Members

Note that Working Groups must have participants from three different Partners. All members of a working group producing software must be licensed Samvera contributors covered by the appropriate CLAs. Other types of contributions such as requirements, design, best practices, documentation, etc. do not require CLAs but participants should accept that the materials to which they contribute may be released under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License.

SMIG will keep a running list of volunteers to serve on this committee but we will limit membership to 8-10 people at a time. Use quorum of 5 people to conduct any meeting. Sunsetting of individual working group members will be after 1 year on the working group and will be staggered to begin (beginning after first 6 months) so we can have overlap of members with experience. Up to 4-5 members will be sunned at a time as the volunteer list allows.

Current Members (up to 10)

- Ryan Wick, facilitator 2017-03 (Oregon Digital / Oregon State University Libraries)
- Mark A. Matienzo (Stanford)
- Cathleen Lu (Chemical Heritage Foundation)
- Julie Allinson (University of London)

Future Interested Member

- Julie Hardesty (Indiana University)
- Elizabeth Roke (Emory University)
- James R. Griffin III (Princeton University)

Resources

Predicate Decision Tree


Suggested Review Process (modify based on best practice)

- Request received
- Working Group discusses over email/via voting form if request can be approved as-is or if a meeting is necessary to discuss
- If request cannot be approved as-is:
  - Working Group comes together (quorum of 5 people) in regularly-scheduled bi-weekly meeting
    - Person making request can be asked to join this meeting
  - Review request and gather information needed to research for [certain amount of time]
  - Working Group meets again (special meeting time or next regularly-scheduled bi-weekly meeting) and makes decision (based on majority vote of quorum present)
    - Accept request as-is
- Accept request with specified changes
- Reject request with specified reasons

Meeting Notes

- URI Selection Call 2018-01-26
- URI Selection Call 2017-12-08
- URI Selection Call 2017-11-17
- URI Selection Call 2017-10-27
- URI Selection Call 2017-09-29
- URI Selection Call 2017-09-01
- URI Selection Call 2017-08-04
- URI Selection Call 2017-07-21
- URI Selection Call 2017-06-23
- URI Selection Call 2017-06-09
- URI Selection Call 2017-05-05
- URI Selection Call 2017-04-14