2020-11-12 AG Agenda and Notes

Date
12 Nov 2020

Attendees
- John Kunze
- Mark Phillips
- Kurt Ewoldsen
- Kate Wittenberg
- Ricc Ferrante
- Frédérique Joannic-Seta
- Brian McBride

Goals
- draft brochure site, funding update

Discussion items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Who</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>announcements</td>
<td>John Kunze</td>
<td>At least 3 ARK-related talks coming up at CNI and PIDapalooza. Agreed to cancel December meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>brief review of draft brochure site with new brand and logo</td>
<td>John Kunze</td>
<td>JK: review of draft arks.org site - home page, new name, logo - goal of migrating select content from n2t.net and lyrasis wiki - work in progress – please comment MP: looks good KW: agreed; would be good to add a couple sentences to the current one-sentence comparison between DOIs and ARKs KE: there could be a link out to where this is address in the FAQ RF: figuring that out will take time, but generally better for links not to leave the site All: ok to move forward All: ok with rollout early next year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>funding status</td>
<td>John Kunze</td>
<td>KW: huge thanks to Mark for agreeing to act as leading partner on the grant KW: we should remember that the more partners on a grant, the more complex the admin gets; if community buy-in is the goal, a show of that can be achieved with letters of support and/or an advisory board (AB) KE: yes, we are open to any way that signals to funders that there's community support KW: might be strategic to have other letters of support (say 3) from outside AITO KW: funders sometimes want to know that you're in a collaborative rather than competitive situation with like-minded orgs, hence support letter (whether from within AITO or outside it) RF: a letter from Lyrasis and the network behind it could be very important KW: the detailed proposal should specify individuals who have committed (if proposal is funded) to serve on the AB; their having specific skills related to the project should be attractive to funders BM: being on the AB is a much easier ask of institutions than being a partner RF: haven't had a chance yet to check with Sl on the partner question KW: sometimes an AB role rather than a partner role eliminates conflict of interest problems MP: there's usually flexibility, depending on amount of money and funding source; we have lots of experience leading &quot;in name&quot; with money flowing through UNT KE: we've been focussing on a number of funders, with IMLS in front; plan to submit to various funders at the same time KW: if you get a positive response from one, it's good to notify the other funder, who can then make adjustments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Action items
-