2020-03-12 AG Agenda and Notes

Date
12 Mar 2020

Attendees

- John Kunze
- Kurt Ewoldsen
- Sayeed Choudhury
- Kate Wittenberg

Goals

- Funding proposal

Discussion items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Who</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>announcem</td>
<td>cents</td>
<td></td>
<td>SC: will have better luck with private foundations (Mellon, Sloan, etc) than with federal, playing on the idea that there are many kinds of data objects outside of the publication-centric view. Don't have Don Waters' successor in place, but Mellon emphasizing inclusiveness (eg, cheaper). Have been talking lots to open source people and everyone recommends involving the community early on rather than at the completion of the work. KE: this is one reason we're coming to this group; need to avoid design-by-committee JK: can lessen that effect by focussing on community requirements gathering KW: should seek input from other than the well-funded universities; Mellon and Sloan seem like good funders to approach SC: good to ask community to help you collect use cases KE: so the proposal might be strengthened if it talks about current use cases and inclusiveness of ARKs SC: Sloan is in process of changing its guidelines KW: Sloan and Mellon are less formal than NSF; often it starts with a one-page proposal KE: what about partners? SC: good idea to have 1-3 partners; I'd suggest making an open invitation KW: sometimes the funder has good ideas on partners KW: it is very important to include request to support 1-2 meetings (eg, planning to start, and another) KE: it is important to be transparent, with a project manager KW: most funders expect that the recipient will invest percentages of people to do things like project management KW: funders will want to know what the recipient is contributing, eg, time or storage KW: but don't understate what you need to complete the work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft propos</td>
<td>al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Action items

-